

Inherent Tracers for Carbon Capture and Storage in Sedimentary Formations: Composition and Applications


Stephanie Flude,^{*,†,‡} Gareth Johnson,[†] Stuart M. V. Gilfillan,[†] and R. Stuart Haszeldine[†]

[†]School of Geosciences, The University of Edinburgh, Grant Institute, King's Buildings, James Hutton Road, Edinburgh EH9 3FE, U.K.

[‡]Isotope Geosciences Unit, Scottish Universities Environmental Research Centre, Rankine Avenue, East Kilbride G75 0QF, U.K.

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Inherent tracers—the “natural” isotopic and trace gas composition of captured CO₂ streams—are potentially powerful tracers for use in CCS technology. This review outlines for the first time the expected carbon isotope and noble gas compositions of captured CO₂ streams from a range of feedstocks, CO₂-generating processes, and carbon capture techniques. The C-isotope composition of captured CO₂ will be most strongly controlled by the feedstock, but significant isotope fractionation is possible during capture; noble gas concentrations will be controlled by the capture technique employed. Comparison with likely baseline data suggests that CO₂ generated from fossil fuel feedstocks will often have $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ distinguishable from storage reservoir CO₂. Noble gases in amine-captured CO₂ streams are likely to be low concentration, with isotopic ratios dependent on the feedstock, but CO₂ captured from oxyfuel plants may be strongly enriched in Kr and Xe which are potentially valuable subsurface tracers. CO₂ streams derived from fossil fuels will have noble gas isotope ratios reflecting a radiogenic component that will be difficult to distinguish in the storage reservoir, but inheritance of radiogenic components will provide an easily recognizable signature in the case of any unplanned migration into shallow aquifers or to the surface.



1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Need for CCS. The link between atmospheric concentrations of anthropogenically produced CO₂ and global warming is unequivocal,^{1–4} and CO₂ emissions must be drastically reduced, and eventually stopped, if we are to avoid catastrophic, irreversible climate change. Carbon capture and storage (CCS) features prominently in all scenarios that consider timely and feasible reductions in CO₂ emissions.^{1,5} Importantly, CCS is the only technology that can substantially reduce carbon emissions from industrial processes such as chemical synthesis and steel production. Climate models are increasingly relying on the use of negative emissions to limit global average temperature rise to 2 °C and include large amounts of bioenergy combined with CCS (BECCS), as this is the only feasible, industrial-scale negative emissions technology currently available.⁶

Carbon capture in the context of this review involves removal of CO₂ from the flue gases of point-source emitters, such as power stations and industrial plants, to produce a stream of high concentration CO₂. Current well-developed capture techniques are often classified into one of three categories. (1) “Amine capture”, also referred to as “post-combustion capture” because it was originally envisaged to be applied most often to fossil fuel or biomass combustion-fired power stations, removes CO₂ from a gas stream by chemical reaction of the CO₂ with an amine solvent (with or without the use of

membranes) and is already widely used by the hydrocarbon industry to remove CO₂ from produced natural gas.⁷ (2) “Oxycombustion” or “oxyfuel combustion” produces a high CO₂ purity flue gas by burning fuel in an oxygen-rich atmosphere, rather than air, and recycling the flue gas into the combustion chamber. (3) “Pre-combustion capture” collects the CO₂ produced during gasification processes and is so named for the potential to generate hydrogen fuel, which can be combusted without producing CO₂ to produce electricity. These general carbon capture terms were developed in the context of CCS being most readily applied to electricity generation (hence the focus on pre- or post-combustion). In reality, these capture techniques are already applied to a much wider range of industrial activities, such as natural gas processing, synfuel production, and chemical/fertilizer manufacture (see Section 3), so classification according to the stage of electricity generation is no longer appropriate. As such, we use the terms “amine capture”, “oxyfuel” and “gasification” when discussing these three different types of capture technique. Additional pressure-based adsorption techniques onto solid adsorbents (e.g., pressure-swing adsorption) or

Received: March 29, 2016

Revised: June 30, 2016

Accepted: July 5, 2016

Published: July 5, 2016

organic solvents are also used during gas purification, especially as part of the gasification processes.

The efficiency of CO₂ capture and the purity of the captured CO₂ stream vary between ~95% and 99.9%^{2,8} depending on the capture method, post-capture cleanup, and specific conditions employed. Industrial specifications require a CO₂ purity of >95% for transport and storage, to maximize density and avoid problematic phase changes, so end-product CO₂ streams tend to contain 90–99% CO₂, with minor to trace amounts of N₂, hydrocarbons, H₂S, NO_x, SO_x, O₂, H₂O, and noble gases (especially Ar).^{7–9}

1.2. Need for CO₂ Tracers. Commercial-scale carbon-storage projects will be required by governmental regulatory bodies to monitor CO₂ injected for storage and mitigate any unplanned behavior, such as migration out of the storage reservoir (leakage) or to the surface (seepage), in the storage complex.¹⁰ Furthermore, being able to trace the migration and reactions of injected CO₂ in the subsurface is fundamental to the continual assessment of injectivity, identification of CO₂ trapping mechanisms, and quantification of storage capacity, all of which need to be well-understood and characterized to ensure storage security. Geophysical techniques, while useful monitoring tools, remain limited in their ability to quantify CO₂ pore space saturation and dissolution at high spatial resolution.^{11–13} Seepage rates of 0.001–0.01% per year are generally considered acceptable on a climate accounting basis, amounting to a loss of ~1% of the injected CO₂ over 100 years, a target adopted by the U.S. Department of Energy.^{14,15} Conclusive detection of such seepage rates by measurement of CO₂ concentrations remains problematic due to natural background CO₂ fluctuations. A potential solution to this problem is the use of geochemical tracers, detectable at low concentrations due to their low background level in the atmosphere or storage complex. Addition of geochemical tracers for environmental monitoring and interpretation of reservoir dynamics is a long-standing practice in the hydrocarbon industry, with perfluorocarbon tracer compounds (PFTs),¹⁶ tritiated and perdeuterated CH₄ and H₂O, freons, sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆)¹⁷ and noble gases such as Kr and Xe¹⁸ proving to be particularly useful tracers.

Tracers can be classified in terms of their relationship to the injected CO₂ as (1) added tracers (substances added to the CO₂ stream prior to injection, e.g., SF₆), (2) inherent or natural tracers (substances already present in the CO₂ stream or the isotopic composition of the CO₂ itself), or (3) indirect tracers (changes to baseline values resulting from interaction of the CO₂ with the natural environment, e.g., pH or cation content due to mineral dissolution).¹⁹ Adding geochemical tracers to injected CO₂ can facilitate detailed monitoring and modeling of CO₂ storage, but concerns remain regarding the economic cost of tracers in commercial-scale storage sites, the possibility of increased background (lower sensitivity)/site contamination, and the environmental impact of such compounds.^{17,20–22} PFTs and SF₆, in particular, are potent greenhouse gases with atmospheric residence times of 1000s of years.^{17,22}

Using the isotopic and trace element geochemistry of the injected CO₂ itself as a tracer has the potential to facilitate in-reservoir tracing and leakage monitoring with minimal economic and environmental impact compared with added tracers. Furthermore, Article 12.1 of the EU directive on CCS states that “no waste or other matter may be added for the purpose of disposing of [the CO₂]”;¹⁰ while provision has been made in the directive for allowing the addition of tracers, these

require consideration on a case-by-case basis, so use of inherent CO₂ tracers may help to simplify applications for CO₂-storage permits.

Here, we describe the inherent tracers which will be most useful for fingerprinting and monitoring CO₂ during storage, summarize the currently available information regarding inherent tracer signatures in both captured CO₂ and potential storage reservoirs, and highlight the further research necessary to facilitate the application of inherent tracer geochemistry to CCS. As we will show, the feasibility of using inherent tracers for measuring, monitoring, and verification (MMV) depends on a number of variables, including the baseline composition of reservoirs and overburden of interest and the inherent tracer composition of the captured CO₂ stream. These will vary extensively depending on a number of factors, and hence specific discussion of detection limits of the inherent tracers we describe is out of the scope of this review.

2. INHERENT TRACERS

For tracers to be effective, their compositions must be distinct from that of the storage site, including the host reservoir, overburden, and local atmosphere. In this section we provide a brief background to and highlight further information on the isotope and trace gas systems that may be used as inherent tracers.

2.1. CO₂ Isotopic Composition. The stable isotopes of C and O of injected CO₂ are an obvious potential tracer and have been successfully used in a number of projects to identify CO₂ migration and quantify pore space saturation and dissolution of CO₂ (see section 6). Much of this work and background theory relevant to CCS have recently been summarized in a number of review papers.^{12,19,23–26} However, the isotopic composition of the captured CO₂ itself has received less attention, which we address in section 3. For this review, we concentrate on using C-isotopes as a means of fingerprinting the injected CO₂. While O-isotopes of captured CO₂ may be a useful, quantitative monitoring tool,¹¹ rapid equilibration of O-isotopes between CO₂ and water²⁷ means that the O-isotope composition of CO₂ will be controlled by any volumetrically significant water it interacts with; as a result the O-isotope composition of CO₂ is expected to change significantly after injection into the storage reservoir and so not provide a diagnostic tracer of the CO₂ itself. Hence O-isotopes are not discussed in detail in this review.

For context, the range of isotopic compositions occurring in nature are shown in Figure 1 with details provided in Supporting Information Table S1. C-isotope values are presented in δ¹³C relative to Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite (V-PDB), where

$$\delta^{13}\text{C}/\text{‰} = \left(\frac{(^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C})_{\text{sample}}}{(^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C})_{\text{reference}}} - 1 \right) \times 1000$$

Isotope fractionation, enrichment factors (ε), and conversion between isotopic values relative to different standards are covered in detail in recent review papers which we refer interested readers to.^{12,19,23–26}

2.2. Noble Gases. Noble gases (He, Ne, Ar, Kr, and Xe) are particularly useful for tracing interaction of gases with fluids due to their unreactive nature and Henry's Law controlled solubility; in general, solubility increases with elemental mass and decreases with increasing temperature. Noble gases will preferentially partition into gas > oil > fresh water > saline




Figure 1. C-isotope values for a range of naturally occurring materials. Black boxes indicate CO₂. White boxes are other substances. Arrows represent values off the scale of the diagram. See Supporting Information Table S1 for references. Note that a wide range of δ¹³C values are covered by naturally occurring CO₂ sources.

water, so mixing and migration of different fluids and gases in the subsurface may lead to multiple re-equilibration events that result in elemental fractionation of the noble gases.^{28,29} Hence, noble gases are being increasingly used to identify and quantify hydrocarbon migration pathways from modeling the elemental fractionation that occurs during partitioning between water, oil, and gas.^{30,32} Noble gases in the subsurface can be considered a mixture of three components:³³ (1) atmospheric derived noble gases, introduced to the subsurface by equilibration with meteoric water and recharge; (2) radiogenic noble gases produced in situ by decay of radioactive elements; (3) terrigenous fluids originating from defined geochemical reservoirs. Two common terrigenous components in sedimentary formations are crust and mantle. Mantle noble gases are enriched in ³He, with ³He/⁴He as high as 70 R_A (R_A being ³He/⁴He of atmosphere, 1.339 × 10⁻⁶) while crustal noble

gases are enriched in radiogenic noble gases (⁴He and ⁴⁰Ar) and have ³He/⁴He < 0.7 R_A.³² In subsurface fluids a distinction exists between radiogenic and crustal components; the terrigenous crustal component is derived from radioactive decay, but represents the cumulative accumulation in the host rock, and is thus controlled by the age and chemistry of the geological formation hosting the fluid and the openness of the system, while the radiogenic component is added to the fluid by in situ radioactive decay and is thus a function of the host formation chemistry and fluid residence time.³³ Summaries of noble gas data relevant to CCS are shown in Table 1.

3. GEOCHEMISTRY OF THE CAPTURED CO₂ STREAM

Two sources of information are available to assess the likely composition of the captured CO₂ stream: (1) a limited number of direct measurements on captured CO₂ and (2) hypothetical considerations of the feedstocks and processes involved in CO₂ generation. We analyze this information to draw conclusions about the range of CO₂ compositions that can be expected for different feedstocks and processes, which are summarized in Table 2. Further information regarding δ¹³C and noble gas content of a range of relevant feedstocks are provided in the Supporting Information.

3.1. Direct Measurement of the Captured CO₂ Stream.

CCS projects have reported captured CO₂ stream data from two oxycombustion plants, three synfuel/hydrogen production plants, two fertilizer manufacturers, one natural gas processing plant, and one unknown combustion source. δ¹³C has been the most widely analyzed tracer in captured CO₂ to date, giving a wide range of values from -51 to -4.7‰. Limited noble gas data are available for CO₂ streams from fertilizer and oxyfuel plants. The limited available data is consistent with theoretical considerations discussed below, but the uncertainties involved (most often relating to the precise feedstock composition) hinder robust predictions of captured CO₂ stream chemistry, and more studies are needed to clarify the δ¹³C of captured CO₂.

3.2. Fuel Combustion for Energy Production. Power stations are some of the largest point sources of CO₂ emissions in the developed world, making them obvious targets for CCS. In most cases, power is generated by combustion of material, often fossil fuels but with an increasing use of biomass, to drive a turbine and generate electricity. Capture of combustion-produced CO₂ will be either via amine capture or oxyfuel methods.

3.2.1. Carbon Isotopes. For CO₂ derived from fossil fuel combustion, Widory³⁴ identified ¹³C depletion in the CO₂

Table 1. Summary of Noble Gas Concentrations and Solubilities

	air (ppbv) ^a	water (ppbv) ^b	oil (mol ppb)	gas (mol ppb)	solubility in water ^e	solubility in oil ^g
⁴ He	5,240	50	12,000–130,000 ^c	580–3,838,000 ^{e,f}	0.0090	0.0211
²⁰ Ne	18,180	181	2–21 ^c	4.1–1,294.4 ^{e,f}	0.0096	0.0198
⁴⁰ Ar	9,340,000	398,400	10,700–26,900 ^c	51,100–208,300 ^e	0.036	0.0158
³⁶ Ar	31,607	1,348	1–151 ^d	20–11,849 ^{e,f}		
⁸⁴ Kr	650	51		1.6–120 ^{e,f}	0.0388	0.400
¹³⁶ Xe	8	0.9		0.1–5.7 ^{e,f}	0.0603	1.080

^aCalculated from Porcelli et al.¹⁰⁵ ^bBased on calculated equilibrium concentrations of elemental noble gases in low salinity water at 10 °C from Lake Baikal,³³ using the simplified assumption that 1 g of water = 1 cm³, and converted to isotopic abundances using isotopic ratios from Porcelli et al.¹⁰⁵ ^cCalculated from Ballentine et al.⁸⁶ ^dCalculated from Torgersen and Kennedy.⁸⁸ ^eCalculated from Prinzhofer et al.¹³⁰ ^fCalculated from Kotarba et al.¹³¹ ^gSolubilities¹³⁰ expressed as the ratio of the noble gas concentration in the liquid to the concentration in the gas (mol m⁻³/mol m⁻³), at 50 °C and atmospheric pressure for water and heavy (API 25) oil.

Table 2. Expected Carbon Isotope and Noble Gas Compositions of the CO₂ Stream Generated by a Variety of Industrial and Energy-Generating Technologies, Relative to Their Source Components (Feedstock, Combustion Atmosphere, etc.) and with Likely Fractionations Where Relevant^a

Process	Feedstock	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ‰ V-PDB of feedstock	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ‰ of process	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of CO ₂ ‰ V-PDB	Noble gas content of components	Noble Gases in CO ₂
Normal Combustion *	Coal	-30 to -20	-1.3	-31.3 to -21.3	High ⁴ He and ⁴⁰ Ar in fossil fuels. Atmospheric noble gases from air.	Air-like, plus enriched ⁴ He and ⁴⁰ Ar.
	Oil	-36 to -18		-37.3 to -19.3		
	Natural Gas	<-60 to -20		<-61 to -21.3		
	C3 biomass	-30 to -24	0	-30 to -24	Atmospheric noble gases	Air-like noble gases
	C4 biomass	-15 to -10	0 to +4	-15 to -6		
Oxyfuel	Coal	-30 to -20	-1.3	-31.3 to -21.3	High ⁴ He and ⁴⁰ Ar in fossil fuels. Heavy noble gases in cryogenic oxygen.	Enriched in heavy noble gases, ⁴ He and ⁴⁰ Ar.
	Oil	-36 to -18		-37.3 to -19.3		
	Natural Gas	<-60 to -20		<-61 to -21.3		
	C3 biomass	-30 to -24	0	-30 to -24	Heavy noble gases in cryogenic oxygen.	Enriched in heavy noble gases.
	C4 biomass	-15 to -10	0 to +4	-15 to -6		
Gasification / Syngas	Coal	-30 to -20	Difficult to predict. Possible small -ve ‰	<-30 to <-20	High ⁴ He and ⁴⁰ Ar in fossil fuels. Use of steam will add atmospheric noble gases. Use of cryogenic O ₂ will add heavy noble gases.	Atmospheric noble gases. Possibly enriched in heavy noble gases. High ⁴ He and ⁴⁰ Ar for fossil fuel feedstocks.
	Oil	-36 to -18		<-36 to <-18		
	Natural Gas	<-60 to -20		<-60 to <-20		
	C3 biomass	-30 to -24		<-30 to <-24		
	C4 biomass	-15 to -10		<-15 to <-10		
Synfuel / chemical plant	Coal	-30 to -20	Possible small +ve ‰	>-30 to >-20	Increased likelihood of using cryogenic O ₂ will add heavy noble gases	Air like ratios and likely enriched in heavy noble gases
	Oil	-36 to -18		>-36 to >-18		
	Natural Gas	<-60 to -20		>-60 to >-20		
Fermentation	C4 Sugars	-15 to -10	+4 to +6	-11 to -4	Air saturated water	Air-like ratios, depleted concentrations relative to air.
Cement *	Coal + Limestone	-30 to -20 0	-1.3 for coal + mixing	-16 to -11	High ⁴ He and ⁴⁰ Ar in fossil fuels. Atmospheric noble gases from air. Heavy noble gas enrichment for oxyfuel.	Air-like, plus enriched ⁴ He and ⁴⁰ Ar. Heavy noble gas enrichment for oxyfuel.
Steel Industry: ISP *	Coal	-30 to -20	-1.3	-31.3 to -21.3	High ⁴ He and ⁴⁰ Ar in fossil fuels. Atmospheric noble gases from air.	Air-like, plus enriched ⁴ He and ⁴⁰ Ar.
Steel Industry: DRI	As for Syngas Iron ore	As for Syngas			High ⁴ He and ⁴⁰ Ar in iron oxide. Atmospheric noble gases from air. Heavy noble gases in cryogenic oxygen?	Air-like, plus enriched ⁴ He and ⁴⁰ Ar. Possibly enriched in heavy noble gases.
Natural gas processing (Amine capture)	CO ₂ co-existing with natural gas	-14 to +14	-20 to +2.5	-34 to +21	High ⁴ He and ⁴⁰ Ar in natural gas.	Noble gases likely lost during capture. Preferential retention of heavy noble gases. Radiogenic and terrigenous isotope ratios retained but abundances << air.

^aSubsequent amine capture or physical absorption are not included. For processes that will be followed with amine capture (* in "process" column), add $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ enrichment of -20 to $+2.5\%$ and depletion of noble gas concentrations (especially light noble gases). For physical absorption in organic solvents (may or may not be used with all other processes), add a small positive C-isotopic enrichment, dependent on the relative efficiencies of the absorption and desorption processes, and depletion of noble gas concentrations (especially light noble gases). References for the C-isotope composition of feedstock and other components are given in the text and in the Supporting Information tables, and values are summarised in Figure 1.

relative to the fuel, amounting to $\delta^{13}\text{C} \sim -1.3\%$ for a range of fossil fuel types (solid, liquid, and gas). More recent work has measured $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ during coal combustion and found that resulting CO₂ has $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ between -2.39 and $+2.33\%$ relative to the coal feedstock.³⁵ $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CO}_2}$ of -46.2% ³⁶ has been reported for CO₂ derived from combustion of natural gas, which is consistent with (the admittedly wide range of) expected values (Figure 1), but the capture method was not reported.

For biomass, complete combustion of C3 and C4 plants produces CO₂ with the same C-isotope composition of the bulk plant, but partial combustion of C4 plants may result in ¹³C enrichment of the CO₂ (up to $+4\%$ at 3% combustion).³⁷ The C-isotope signature of CO₂ produced by burning biomass will therefore depend on the specific feedstock and the efficiency of the combustion process. Given the higher temperatures associated with oxycombustion, we might expect a higher

efficiency of biomass combustion compared to normal combustion, so no isotopic fractionation would be expected. Reported $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of CO₂ from oxycombustion of natural gas (-40% , Rouse CCS project³⁸) and lignite (-26% , Ketzin CCS project; see Supporting Information Table S2) are consistent with expected values (-61 to -21.3% and -31.3 to -21.3% , respectively; Table 2).

3.2.2. Noble Gases. To the best of our knowledge, very little data are available on the noble gas content of combustion gases. Noble gases in combustion flue gases will be derived from the material being combusted and from the combustion atmosphere (air for normal combustion; cryogenic oxygen for oxyfuel). Concentrations of most noble gases in hydrocarbons are generally 2–3 orders of magnitude lower than in air (Table 1), so atmospheric noble gases are expected to dominate. A radiogenic or terrigenous isotopic component might be

resolvable in hydrocarbon derived CO₂ due to elevated ⁴He in fossil fuels.

For oxyfuel, additional heavy noble gases (Ar, Kr, and Xe) may be introduced with the cryogenically purified O₂. CO₂ injected at the Rouse CCS project was derived from oxycombustion of natural gas.³⁸ The source natural gas fuel was enriched in ⁴He and depleted in ²⁰Ne, ³⁶Ar, ⁴⁰Ar, and ⁸⁴Kr relative to air;³⁸ the resulting CO₂ remained enriched in ⁴He and depleted in ²⁰Ne relative to air, but Ar isotopes had concentrations similar to air and ⁸⁴Kr was enriched by an order of magnitude compared to air.³⁸ Enrichments of Ar (up to 2%) in oxyfuel-captured CO₂ have also been observed during oxyfuel pilot experiments,⁸ despite distillation procedures designed to remove inert gases.⁷

3.3. Gasification Processes/Synfuel Production/Pre-combustion CO₂ Capture. Here we use the term “gasification processes” to refer to the range of reactions used to generate Syngas (H₂ and CO) from a variety of fuel stocks. Syngas can be further processed by Fischer–Tropsch reactions to create a range of chemicals and synthetic fuels (synfuels), including synthetic natural gas (SNG) and Fischer–Tropsch liquid fuels. These chemical reactions are described in the [Supporting Information](#).

Syngas is generated by a two stage chemical reaction. At the first stage, carbon monoxide (CO) and H₂ are produced from the feedstock, via either steam reforming or partial oxidation, followed by addition of steam to stimulate a “shift reaction” that converts CO to CO₂, generating more H₂.⁷ For synfuel production the syngas stream is passed through a synthesis reactor where CO and H₂ are catalytically converted to the desired chemical.⁷ CO₂ from the entire process is captured both upstream and downstream of the synthesis reactor and the captured CO₂ will be a combination of CO₂ generated from different gasification stages, with a decreased input from the shift reaction as CO is used in Fischer–Tropsch reactions. The resulting CO₂ is removed from the gas stream by either chemical solvents (e.g., amine capture) or physical solvents (e.g., cold methanol).⁷

3.3.1. Carbon Isotopes. Fractionation of C-isotopes during gasification is likely due to increased bond strength of ¹³C–¹²C compared to ¹²C–¹²C, resulting in ¹³C depletion in low molecular weight gases and ¹³C enrichment in heavy residues such as tar and vacuum bottoms.³⁹ C-isotope ratios will be $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CO}} < \delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CH}_4} < \delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{hydrocarbons}} < \delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{coal}} < \delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{char}} < \delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CO}_2}$ at typical gasification temperatures (>1000 °C).^{40–42}

This suggests that any CO₂ produced by incomplete reactions in the first stage of gasification is likely to be enriched in ¹³C compared to the original feedstock, while the resulting CO will be depleted in ¹³C. This agrees with experimental results from underground coal gasification plants,^{39,41,43} and natural gas generation via pyrolysis of coal and lignite,^{44,45} which produced CO₂ enriched in ¹³C by 2–10% relative to the feedstock. Conversely, CO₂ generated from CO via the shift reaction will be depleted in ¹³C. In a simplistic scenario, all CO₂ resulting from gasification will be derived from the shift reaction, so we could expect CO₂ captured from Syngas plants to be the same as or isotopically lighter than the feedstock, depending on the efficiency of the gasification reactions and proportion of feedstock not converted to Syngas. For synfuel and F-T plants, the ¹³C-depleted CO will be used in chemical synthesis, so early generated, CO₂ slightly enriched in ¹³C will dominate. In reality, gasification of solid fuels is likely to produce CO₂ and

CH₄ in addition to CO and H₂, so the isotopic composition of resulting CO₂ will depend on the proportions of ¹³C-enriched, early produced CO₂ and ¹³C-depleted, shift-reaction CO₂. It is thus difficult to precisely predict the C-isotopic composition of CO₂ captured from syngas and synfuel plants. However, it is likely that the various fractionation and mixing processes will average out, giving CO₂ with an isotopic composition similar to or slightly more ¹³C-depleted than the feedstock for syngas plants, and similar to or slightly more ¹³C-enriched than the feedstock for chemical and synfuel plants.

One of the sources of CO₂ injected at the Ketzin project was reportedly a byproduct of hydrogen production⁴⁶ at an oil refinery.⁴⁷ This CO₂ has $\delta^{13}\text{C} \sim -30.5\%$ ⁴⁷ (Table S2) which is indistinguishable from the range of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values expected for oil (–18 to –36 ‰, Table 2). CO₂ injected in the Frio project was derived from a refinery in Bay City, TX, USA, and the Donaldsonville fertilizer plant, Louisiana.⁴⁸ Reported $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of the injected CO₂ was –51 to –35%.⁴⁹ While the end-member $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ compositions were not reported, the values are consistent with those for natural gas (fertilizer plant) and oil (refinery) (see Table 2 and Figure 1). CO₂ captured from the Scotford Bitumen Upgrader, Canada, was derived from hydrogen production and purified using amine capture;⁵⁰ most hydrogen production in the region is produced using steam methane reforming.⁵¹ The captured CO₂ has $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of –37%,⁵² which is within the range of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values for natural gas. While the range of possible feedstock compositions is too wide to be conclusive, these data are consistent with our above predictions. The CO₂ injected at Weyburn is generated via coal gasification at the Great Plains Synfuel Plant, Beulah, ND, USA, and has a $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of –20 to –21%.⁵³ This is indistinguishable from the (wide) range of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ for coal of –30 to –20% (Table 2). Data are not available for the coal and lignite used in the Synfuel plant, but coals and lignite from North Dakota have $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ between –25 and –23% with a minority of coal beds reaching –20%.⁵⁴ The captured CO₂ from the Synfuel plant is thus at the ¹³C-enriched end of the range of likely feedstock isotope values, consistent with our prior discussion.

3.3.2. Noble Gases. The noble gas composition of the captured CO₂ stream generated by gasification processes is likely to be controlled by the noble gas content of the feedstock and the steam and oxygen used in the gasification processes. Steam is likely to introduce noble gases that are a mixture of atmosphere and air saturated water (ASW) for the source water. Gasifiers that use partial oxidation rather than steam reforming will likely produce CO₂ enriched in heavy noble gases (Ar, Kr, and Xe) from added O₂.

3.4. Fermentation. Fermentation of biomass to produce ethanol as a sustainable fuel source is a well-developed industry in the USA and Brazil; while the total anthropogenic CO₂ emissions from bioethanol fermentation make up less than 1% of global CO₂ emissions, the CO₂ gas stream is of high purity and thus a suitable target for early adoption of CCS.⁷ The two main crops used for bioethanol production are currently corn/maize (USA) and sugar cane (Brazil), both of which are C4 photosynthetic pathway plants.⁵⁵ Various other C4 crops, such as miscanthus switchgrass, and C3 plants, such as poplar,⁵⁶ are under investigation as suitable bioethanol feedstocks due to their ability to grow in relatively arid climates and their lack of economic competition as a food crop.⁵⁵ Ethanol is produced from the feedstock by fermentation of the sugars and starches in the biomass, generating a pure stream of CO₂ via⁵⁷




3.4.1. Carbon Isotopes. The carbon isotopic composition of plant sugars generally reflects the bulk plant composition; $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of glucose from sugar beet (C3) is $\sim -25.1\%$ (cf. -30 to -24% , Table 2) and from maize (C4) is $\delta^{13}\text{C} \sim -10.5\%$ (cf. -15 to -10% , Table 2). Carbon isotopes are not evenly distributed within the glucose molecules and this results in fractionation of C-isotopes during fermentation;^{58,59} the third and fourth carbon atoms in the glucose chain are enriched in ^{13}C relative to the bulk sugar, and these form the resulting CO_2 .⁵⁹ Different degrees of ^{13}C enrichment of third and fourth position C atoms occur between C3 and C4 photosynthetic pathway plants, resulting in hypothetical ^{13}C enrichment of fermentation-produced CO_2 over glucose of $\sim +8.2\%$ for C3 plants and $+4.5\%$ for C4 plants.⁵⁹ Measured CO_2 –glucose isotope fractionation factors range from $+7.4$ to $+4.6\%$ for C3 plants and $+5.1\%$ for C4 plants.^{59–61} Apples are C3 plants and CO_2 produced during fermentation of cider has been measured with $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of -25 to -21% , which is enriched by at least 3% relative to C3 plants.⁶²

Assuming that bioethanol feedstock will be dominated by C4 biomass and that CO_2 produced by fermentation is enriched in ^{13}C by 4 – 6% relative to the original sugars, we can expect CO_2 captured from fermentation plants to have $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of ~ -11 to -4% .

3.4.2. Noble Gases. The main source of noble gases during fermentation will be air saturated water (ASW) in the fermenting solution. Noble gases are more soluble in organic solvents, so we would expect solubility to increase as fermentation proceeds, resulting in noble gas depletion in the CO_2 stream.

3.5. Cement Industry. Cement production (including energy to drive the process and indirect emissions) contributes $\sim 6\%$ of global anthropogenic CO_2 emissions, $\sim 50\%$ of which is from calcination of limestone to produce lime and CO_2 :^{63,64}



The remaining emissions are from the energy required to fire the kiln; coal is commonly used but other fuels, such as natural gas, may be used. Likely carbon capture solutions for the cement industry include oxyfuel combustion to heat the kiln or amine capture for both kiln combustion and calcination gases.⁶³

3.5.1. Carbon Isotopes. Calcination reactions are assumed to not cause isotopic fractionation, so the resulting CO_2 has the same isotopic composition as the initial carbonate^{65,66}—i.e., $\delta^{13}\text{C} \sim 0$. Assuming a 50:50 mixture of CO_2 derived from calcination and from coal combustion, we would expect CO_2 emitted from cement factories to have $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ between ~ -11 and -16% .

3.5.2. Noble Gases. Since the noble gas content of limestone is low (see Supporting Information section S.1.5), calcination of limestone is unlikely to significantly contribute noble gases to the CO_2 stream, which will be dominated by noble gases from fossil fuel combustion from firing the kiln.

3.6. Iron and Steel Industry. The steel industry generates 1.9 tonnes of CO_2 per tonne of steel⁶⁷ contributing 4–7% of global anthropogenic CO_2 emissions. CO_2 is generated from two processes: energy for steel production by burning of fuel and the use of reducing agents for steel production from iron ore, the most readily available reducing agent being coal.⁶⁷ Integrated steel plants (ISP) use mostly coal, with minor natural gas and oil, as both the fuel and reducing agent, while

mini-mill plants use electric furnaces to heat and melt scrap or direct-reduced iron (DRI);⁷ while mini-mill plants may not directly produce CO_2 emissions, DRI is produced by reacting iron ore with H_2 and CO to form iron + H_2O + CO_2 .⁷

3.6.1. Carbon Isotopes. According to our investigations, there are no published data for carbon isotope fractionation between steel and CO_2 ; hence estimating $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of CO_2 produced by integrated steel plants is difficult, but likely to be dominated by combustion CO_2 (so $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of -31 to -21%). In the case of DRI production an obvious source of H_2 and CO for the reduction process is Syngas. In this case, the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of CO_2 resulting from iron reduction would likely mirror that of the CO , as discussed for Syngas production (i.e., slightly depleted in ^{13}C relative to the gasification feedstock—section 3.3).

3.6.2. Noble Gases. The overall noble gas budget of CO_2 emitted from steel plants will be dominated by atmospheric noble gases incorporated during combustion, for integrated steel plants, and noble gases introduced during syngas production, for mini-mill plants. However, given the low concentration of He in air, enrichment of iron ore derived radiogenic ^4He may be significant.

3.7. CO_2 Separation. **3.7.1. Chemical Absorption.** Chemical absorption involves passing flue gases through a solvent with a high affinity for CO_2 (most commonly an amine solvent). In a typical amine capture process a CO_2 -bearing flue gas is reacted with the amine solvent at ~ 40 to 60 °C and the remaining flue gas (which will contain some residual CO_2) cleaned and vented; the CO_2 -bearing solvent is transferred to a desorber vessel and heated to 100 – 140 °C to reverse the CO_2 -binding chemical reaction and release a stream of pure ($>99\%$) CO_2 gas.^{7,68} Typical CO_2 recoveries are 80 – 95% of the CO_2 in the flue gas.⁷ Such techniques are commonly employed to remove CO_2 from natural gas, before it is piped to national gas grids.⁷ Various capture plants and aqueous amine solvents are being developed for chemical absorption of CO_2 . The effects on inherent tracer composition will likely depend on the relative efficiencies of the absorption/desorption processes used by the capture process, the specific chemical reaction pathways that occur and the temperature and pH of the reactions. Two reaction pathways are common for amine solvents: bicarbonate (HCO_3^-) and carbamate (NH_2CO_2^-) formation.⁶⁸

Carbon Isotopes. No data are yet available for C-isotope fractionation in the amine solutions commonly used in CO_2 capture. For water, C-isotope fractionation between CO_2 gas and bicarbonate is greater at lower temperatures.²⁷ In terms of carbon capture, this suggests that greater isotopic fractionation will take place during the absorption stage than the desorption stage. Below, we use Rayleigh Fractionation²⁷ to calculate expected $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values for absorbed and desorbed CO_2 .

In water at typical amine absorption temperatures (40 – 60 °C), the bicarbonate– CO_2 enrichment factor will be between $+4$ and $+7\%$.^{27,69} If 85 – 99% of the CO_2 dissolves to form bicarbonate in the amine solution, the resulting bicarbonate will be enriched in ^{13}C by 0 to $+2.34\%$ relative to the original CO_2 flue gas. At desorption temperatures (100 – 140 °C), the HCO_3^- – CO_2 enrichment factor will be $\pm 1\%$,⁶⁹ and if 99% of the bicarbonate is desorbed, the resulting CO_2 will have a $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ value between -0.06 and $+0.06\%$ compared to that of the saturated bicarbonate. The net enrichment of captured CO_2 relative to original flue CO_2 will therefore be between -0.06 and $+2.4\%$, depending on the absorption and desorption temperatures.

An isotope fractionation factor of +1.011 (equivalent to an enrichment factor of $\sim +11\%$) has been determined for carbamate relative to aqueous CO_2 .⁷⁰ ^{13}C enrichment between gaseous and aqueous CO_2 in fresh water at typical amine absorption temperatures (40–60 °C) is -0.9 to -1.0% ,²⁷ so the net isotope enrichment factor of carbamate relative to the original CO_2 flue gas will be $\sim +10\%$. If 85–99% of the CO_2 dissolves to form carbamate in the amine solution, the resulting carbamate will be enriched in ^{13}C by $+0.5$ to $+3\%$ relative to the original flue gas CO_2 . If 99% of the carbamate is desorbed, the resulting CO_2 will have $\delta^{13}\text{C} \sim 0.5\%$ lower than the saturated carbamate, resulting in a net enrichment of ^{13}C in the captured CO_2 of 0 to $+2.5\%$ relative to the original flue gas.

The anticipated ^{13}C enrichment in CO_2 from amine capture, relative to the original flue gas CO_2 , will be between -0.06 and $+2.5\%$, with the exact enrichment value dependent on absorption and desorption temperature, and the relative proportions of bicarbonate and carbamate species in the amine solution.

However, work investigating C-isotope fractionation during absorption of CO_2 by $\text{NH}_3\text{--NH}_4\text{Cl}$ solutions at room temperature suggests that, in alkaline solutions, dissolved carbon (bicarbonate and carbamate ions) may be depleted in ^{13}C relative to the CO_2 gas by more than -50% .⁷¹ In the context of the above discussion regarding absorption/desorption efficiency, this may result in significant ^{13}C depletion in the captured CO_2 relative to the source gas, the opposite effect of what would be expected from dissolution in water.

The CO_2 injected at the Pembina CCS project was derived from the Ferus natural gas processing plant,⁷² which presumably used a form of chemical absorption to strip CO_2 from natural gas. It had $\delta^{13}\text{C} \sim -4.7\%$,¹¹ which falls well within the range of values for CO_2 coexisting with natural gas (-13.9 to $+13.5$,⁷³ Figure 1). Similarly, CO_2 captured using amine solvents from steam reforming of methane (see gasification, above) has $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of -37% ,^{50,52} well within the isotopic range of natural gas (-20 to -52%). Given the breadth of possible $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values for the source CO_2 , these data do not help to constrain which of the above hypotheses is true, but suggest that ^{13}C enrichment of the captured CO_2 relative to the original CO_2 is less than $\pm 20\%$. More work to experimentally determine C-isotope fractionation during CO_2 capture would be beneficial. In the meantime, we tentatively conclude that fractionation of C-isotopes during amine capture is likely between -20 and $+2.5\%$ relative to the source CO_2 , based on the available data for captured CO_2 relative to feedstocks, and the likely maximum enrichment calculated for CO_2 dissolution in fresh water.

Noble Gases. Few data are available for the noble gas content of the CO_2 stream produced by chemical absorption. In a summary of the CO_2 product stream specifications from a number of post-combustion capture technologies,⁷⁴ Ar was present at concentrations of 10–25 ppmv, much lower than the atmospheric concentration of 9340 ppmv (Table 1). This is likely due to the unreactive noble gases remaining in the gas phase during absorption and subsequently being vented, rather than being absorbed with the CO_2 . A small proportion of the noble gases will dissolve into the amine solution. As noble gas solubility decreases with increasing temperature, these will be efficiently exsolved when the solvent is heated to release the CO_2 . Noble gas solubility is controlled by Henry's Law with the heavy noble gases having greater solubilities than lighter noble

gases. As a result we might expect noble gas element ratios to show heavy element enrichment relative to atmosphere.

3.7.2. Physical Absorption. Physical absorption of CO_2 requires a high partial pressure of CO_2 and is often used to separate CO_2 from other gases in CO_2 -rich gas streams, such as the products of gasification processes. CO_2 absorption or dissolution into the solvent is according to Henry's Law.⁷⁵ No chemical reaction takes place, and the absorbed gas is released from the solvent by pressure reduction. Physical absorption using cold methanol is used to capture CO_2 produced at the Great Plains Synfuel plant, for use in the Weyburn enhanced oil recovery (EOR) and CCS site.⁷

Carbon Isotopes. To the best of our knowledge there are no data available to assess the effect of physical absorption on $\delta^{13}\text{C}\text{--CO}_2$. However, we would expect an enrichment of ^{13}C in the dense phase²⁷ (i.e., dissolved in the solvent) and the isotopic composition of the resulting captured CO_2 will depend on the relative efficiencies of the absorption and desorption mechanisms. If desorption is more efficient than absorption, then a small degree of ^{13}C enrichment is likely.

Noble Gases. In general, noble gases have a much higher solubility in organic solutions than in water^{76,77} and follow Henry's Law, with the heavier noble gases having higher solubility. However, as is the case with noble gas dissolution in amine solvents, the noble gases will be preferentially retained in the gas phase and become decoupled from the CO_2 . The small proportion of noble gases that are absorbed into the solvent will likely be enriched in the heavier noble gases relative to atmosphere due to the enhanced solubility of the heavier noble gases. Assuming efficient desorbing of gases from the physical solvent, CO_2 captured via physical absorption is likely to contain low concentrations of noble gases with element ratios enriched in heavy noble gases relative to the noble gas composition of the original flue gas. Isotopic ratios, however, are unlikely to change.

4. GEOCHEMISTRY OF FLUIDS AND GASES IN ACTUAL AND POTENTIAL CCS STORAGE SITES

The two types of storage sites currently considered to have the most potential for CCS in the short term are depleted oil and gas fields and deep, saline formations. In geological terms, these two types of storage are very similar, comprising reservoir rocks filled with saline fluid. In the case of depleted hydrocarbon fields, a wealth of information is available from hydrocarbon exploration and the fields are proven to have stored buoyant fluids or gas over geological time scales. On the other hand, many wells may have been drilled in such fields, resulting in potential leakage pathways, and many hydrocarbon fields are too small to provide large-scale CO_2 storage. Conversely, saline aquifers are much larger but are poorly studied due to lack of hydrocarbon accumulation, and it is not conclusively known whether a given aquifer is leak-tight with respect to buoyant fluids. Porous basalt formations pose another promising storage option,⁷⁸ but the chemical and transport processes involved in these cases have significant differences compared to storage in sedimentary formations and are beyond the scope of this review.

To trace CO_2 injected into a storage reservoir, the baseline conditions of the reservoir and the likely in-reservoir processes need to be known. Hydrodynamically closed reservoirs will tend to have more stable baseline conditions and predictable behavior, while hydrodynamically open reservoirs, and depleted hydrocarbon reservoirs that, at best will be contaminated with

drilling fluids and at worst may have been flushed with water to aid hydrocarbon recovery, may have spatially and temporally variable baselines, and thus exhibit less predictable behavior. Below we summarize the measured and expected geochemical baselines for potential storage reservoirs. While a reasonable amount of data is available for hydrocarbon reservoirs, less information is available for the baseline evolution after production ceases. Data for non-hydrocarbon-bearing saline aquifers are uncommon.

4.1. Carbon Isotopes. The $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of CO_2 in storage formations generally varies between ~ -23 and $+1\text{‰}$ (Figure 2




Figure 2. Baseline storage reservoir CO_2 , DIC, and carbonate minerals, compared to injected CO_2 in existing CCS projects. Gray boxes show the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of injected CO_2 ; Frio and Ketzin show two boxes each to reflect the two anthropogenic CO_2 sources used in these projects. Reservoir baseline values for CO_2 , DIC, and carbonate minerals are shown by horizontal crosshair lines. Where the baseline data are variable, the full range in values is shown by gray lines while the majority of reservoir data are represented by black lines. See Tables S2–S4 for references.

and Supporting Information Table S3). Formations that experience rapid flow of formation water or mixing of water reservoirs may exhibit a large range in $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CO}_2}$ values at a single site (e.g., -23 to -16‰ at Weyburn⁷⁹). $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ for DIC are more constrained, based on the available data, and fall between -9 and $+3\text{‰}$ (see Supporting Information Table S3), regardless of whether the host rock is carbonate or siliciclastic, or whether the storage formation has experienced previous hydrocarbon exploitation, but this may reflect a limited data set. For storage reservoirs in depleted hydrocarbon fields or associated with EOR, the baseline isotope values may fluctuate strongly depending on industrial activities, such as water flushing⁸⁰ or contamination with organic matter resulting in enhanced bacterial action.⁸¹

4.2. Noble Gases. The most comprehensive noble gas measurements from a CCS reservoir are from the Weyburn EOR project,⁸² although these do not represent baseline data. ^4He concentrations were 2 orders of magnitude greater than for air saturated water (ASW) while other noble gas isotopes (Ne – Kr) had concentrations 1–2 orders of magnitude lower. The

isotopic composition of the noble gases is consistent with a depletion in atmospheric noble gases, as would be expected for a hydrocarbon-rich formation where the noble gases partition into the hydrocarbon phase, rather than the pore-water phase, and an enrichment in radiogenic isotopes, consistent with a deep origin of the fluid. Similarly at the Cranfield CO_2 -EOR site, noble gas data from produced gases indicate high levels of terrigenic/radiogenic ^4He in the reservoir.⁸³ Other noble gas data are available for fluids from the Rouse and Frio storage reservoirs. The Frio data are restricted to He and Ar concentrations (80,000 ppb (\gg air) and 400,000 ppb (\approx ASW) respectively⁴⁹). Air-normalized concentrations for ^4He , ^{20}Ne , ^{36}Ar , ^{40}Ar and ^{84}Kr , from Rouse show that, while the concentration of ^4He was ~ 10 times greater than air, the remaining noble gases were all 100–1000 times lower than air, with a positive correlation between concentration and elemental mass.³⁸

These observations are consistent with the formation waters having interacted with hydrocarbons, causing depletion in atmospheric noble gases (originally derived via hydrologic recharge) relative to expected air saturated water (ASW), due to preferential partitioning into the hydrocarbon phase (section 2.2). Repeated dissolution and exsolution of noble gases will produce greater degrees of elemental fractionation, with an enrichment of heavy relative to light noble gases, compared to air.⁸⁴ While these processes facilitate precise quantitative modeling when all of the relevant conditions are well-characterized, it is difficult to place more quantitative constraints on the range of noble gas concentrations that could be expected in deep aquifers.

The isotopic composition of subsurface noble gas elements, however, does not fractionate during dissolution and exsolution and is instead controlled by mixing between different sources of noble gases. In very simplistic terms, the formations likely to be of most interest for CCS are those that have at least some degree of hydrodynamic isolation. In such cases, the fluids in the reservoir will be relatively old, residing in the subsurface for a considerable amount of time, perhaps approaching geological time scales. This will give a much stronger radiogenic and terrigenic noble gas signature than would be observed for shallow, freshwater aquifers that undergo regular recharge (see section 7). Noble gases in hydrocarbon systems often have a resolvable mantle component identified by elevated ^3He , and a high proportion of radiogenic isotopes that are often correlated with reservoir depth ($^4\text{He}^*$, $^{21}\text{Ne}^*$, and $^{40}\text{Ar}^*$, with “*” denoting a radiogenic origin).^{30,85–87} Some hydrocarbon fields have elevated, isotopically atmospheric Kr and Xe that cannot be explained by elemental fractionation in a water–oil–gas system. This is attributed to adsorption of atmospheric Kr and Xe onto the carbon-rich sediments that are the source of hydrocarbons.^{30,88} Such sediment derived Kr and Xe enrichments may occur in hydrocarbon fields, but would partition into the hydrocarbon phases, rather than water and so are unlikely to be observed in saline aquifers or depleted hydrocarbon fields where the Kr- and Xe-enriched phase was either never present or has been removed.

In the case of depleted oil and gas fields an additional source of noble gases may be introduced during water stimulation to maintain reservoir pressure. The extent of this contamination will depend on the relative volumes of water added, amount of original formation water remaining, and the noble gas composition of the injected water. If seawater is injected, as is likely in offshore hydrocarbon fields, the added noble gases

will be those of atmosphere equilibrated seawater (similar order of magnitude concentrations to those quoted for fresh water in Table 1). If produced fluids from the field are simply reinjected, then the noble gas composition is unlikely to change.

Many factors control the noble gas composition of potential storage reservoirs, so good noble gas baseline data will be beneficial if noble gases are to be used as tracers. Many reservoirs are likely to have elevated He concentrations relative to air or ASW and be depleted in other noble gases, while isotopic ratios will show strong radiogenic and terrigenous components. Saline aquifers will likely have more stable and consistent baselines than depleted hydrocarbon fields where contamination from production processes is likely.

5. GEOCHEMICAL EVOLUTION OF THE CO₂ STREAM ON INJECTION AND MIGRATION IN THE SUBSURFACE

Once injected into a geological storage formation, the fingerprint of the CO₂ stream will change depending on the processes and reactions that take place and the time scale and rate of those reactions. This section describes the changes that are likely to take place as the CO₂ plume migrates through the subsurface. Dominant processes will be mixing of the injected CO₂ with pre-existing materials, dissolution of the injected CO₂ into formation waters, fluid–rock reactions, such as dissolution of carbonate minerals, and migration. Precipitation of secondary carbonate and clay minerals will also change the stable isotope composition of the carbon-bearing gases and fluids in the subsurface, but there is currently limited evidence that these processes will occur on site-monitoring time scales, and, given the current uncertainties regarding mineral precipitation during CO₂ storage in sedimentary formations, these will not be considered here. Transport of CO₂ through the subsurface can be considered in terms of diffusive and advective transport, both of which may affect the composition of the CO₂ plume in different ways.

5.1. Carbon Isotopes. $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ evolution of injected CO₂ has been covered by a number of recent review papers^{11,12,19,23–26} so is only qualitatively described here. Injected CO₂ will first mix with any free-phase CO₂ in the reservoir, resulting in a CO₂ plume with a $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ value resulting from mixing between injected and baseline values. Identification of the injected CO₂ plume is thus dependent on the difference between the injected and baseline $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CO}_2}$, the relative volumes of injected and baseline CO₂, and relevant enrichment factors (which in turn are dependent on temperature and salinity) between gases and dissolved C-species

CO₂ will begin to dissolve into the formation water to form dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), with isotopic fractionation between CO₂ and DIC related to temperature, pH, and the DIC species formed. At reservoir conditions, DIC derived from the injected CO₂ is calculated to be enriched in ¹³C by -1 to $+7\%$ relative to the coexisting CO₂.²⁷ This DIC will subsequently mix with baseline DIC.

Carbonic acid formation may cause dissolution of any carbonate minerals present, adding another source of C to the DIC; the stable isotope composition of these carbonate minerals, and thus resulting $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$, depends on the origin of the carbonate (Figure 1).

Diffusive transport through rock or soil pore networks may cause C-isotope fractionation at the migration front, resulting in sequential ¹³C depletion and then enrichment at a given

location as the migration front passes.^{12,89} For dry systems, if reactive mineral surfaces such as illite are present, ⁴⁴[CO₂]-(¹²C¹⁶O₂) may be preferentially adsorbed onto the surfaces, resulting in an initial ¹³C enrichment of the free-phase CO₂, that can change the $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CO}_2}$ by up to hundreds of permil, followed by ¹²C enrichment relative to the bulk CO₂ as the ¹²CO₂ is desorbed, resulting in lower $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values, before returning to the bulk composition.⁹⁰ Further work is needed to investigate the presence of this effect in fluid saturated systems. While these processes are unlikely to affect the bulk of CO₂ injected for storage, they may affect the migration front of the injection plume and any CO₂ that leaks from the storage site. Early measurements of $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$ at the Ketzin observation well Ktzi 200 gave $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values lower than expected for mixing of CO₂ sources and calculated C-isotope fractionation during dissolution; diffusive fractionation was a speculated cause of this depletion.⁸¹

5.2. Noble Gases. The processes affecting noble gases in the subsurface are described in a number of recent summary papers and text books.^{28–30,91,92} As with stable isotopes, the noble gas signature of the injected CO₂ stream will first be modified by mixing with any atmospheric, terrigenous, and radiogenic noble gas components in the reservoir gas phase. Exchange of noble gases between different reservoir phases (gas, water, oil, and solid particles) may take place according to the differences in solubility described in section 2.2. Note from Table 1 that Xe will partition preferentially into oil rather than gas at temperatures less than 50 °C so interaction of the injected CO₂ with any oil in the subsurface will cause Xe depletion in the gas phase. Recent work⁹³ experimentally determined noble gas partitioning between supercritical CO₂ and water and found deviations relative to ideal gas–water partitioning behavior that became greater with increasing CO₂ density. Ar, Kr, and Xe all show an increasing affinity for the CO₂ phase with increasing CO₂ density, due to enhanced molecular interactions of denser-phase CO₂ with the larger, more polarizable noble gases, while He shows decreasing affinity.⁹³

Physical adsorption of noble gases onto solid particles, while difficult to quantify due to a lack of experimental data on adsorption properties, may significantly fractionate heavy from light noble gas elements, and a number of studies indicate enrichment of Kr and Xe in organic-rich shales and coal.^{29,94,95}

Migration of the plume front may chromatographically fractionate the noble gas elements and CO₂ due to differences in molecular diffusion rate and solubility, although the specific manifestation of this fractionation depends on the rock matrix, the gas transportation mechanism, and relative solubility of the gas species. Less soluble species will migrate faster than more soluble species,⁹⁶ meaning that noble gases, which will preferentially partition into the gas phase, should travel faster through the subsurface than CO₂, which will begin to dissolve on contact with water. If gas transport takes place via molecular diffusion through pore space, the lighter, faster diffusing species will travel more quickly through the subsurface than the heavier, slower diffusing species. In the case of arrival of the migration front at a monitoring well, we would expect to detect gases in the following order, with the delay between gas arrival dependent on the distance traveled: He, Ne, Ar, CO₂, Kr, and Xe.⁹⁷ However, the opposite may be true when gas transport is via advection along fractures, with faster diffusing species, which are more able to enter the rock pore space, traveling less rapidly

than slower diffusing species, which are confined to fractures and more open, faster flow pathways.⁹⁸ The isotopic composition of the noble gases, however, is not expected to be altered by migration, so characterization of baseline and injected noble gas isotope compositions will allow mass-balance modeling and fingerprinting of any subsurface samples produced from monitoring wells.

6. PAST AND PRESENT USE AND FUTURE POTENTIAL OF INHERENT TRACERS FOR IN-RESERVOIR PROCESSES IN CCS PROJECTS

A number of projects have successfully used inherent stable isotopes to monitor CO₂ behavior in the subsurface, while added noble gases have also proven useful. Supporting Information Table S4 summarizes the ways that these tracers have been used in different CCS projects and identifies the key papers describing these applications. Many of these projects and applications have been summarized in recent review papers.^{12,17,26}

Using C-isotopes as a CO₂ or DIC fingerprint to detect breakthrough and monitor migration of the injected CO₂ is the most common application of inherent tracers in existing CCS projects. In most of these projects the injected CO₂ was isotopically distinct from baseline CO₂ and DIC. However, C-isotopes were still a useful tool for monitoring migration and breakthrough at Weyburn, where the injected and baseline $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CO}_2}$ overlap (due to the wide range of baseline values), and at Pembina, where the injected $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CO}_2}$ overlapped with baseline $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$ (Figure 2). In these cases, C-isotope fractionation during dissolution of injected CO₂ to form bicarbonate (~5‰ at 50–60 °C) increases the separation in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values between baseline DIC and injection derived HCO₃⁻. In many cases (see Supporting Information Table S4), C-isotopes were the most sensitive tracer, indicating arrival of injected CO₂ at a monitoring well earlier than significant changes in fluid pH or CO₂ concentration. When the baseline conditions are well-characterized, C-isotopes have proven to be useful for quantifying the proportion of CO₂ or DIC derived from the injected CO₂ and from in-reservoir mineral dissolution (Weyburn,^{12,99–101} Cranfield,³¹ and Ketzin⁸¹). C-isotopes have also proven useful at identifying contamination from drilling fluids.⁸¹

Hence, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of CO₂ and DIC has the potential to be a powerful in-reservoir tracer, as long as the injected CO₂ has a $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ value that is easily distinguishable from background CO₂ and, if it dissolves, will produce DIC with $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ distinguishable from baseline DIC. Figure 3 compares the expected $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of captured CO₂ from a number of processes and feedstocks, to the range of likely baseline storage formation values. From this, we can see that C3 biomass and fossil fuel derived CO₂ will be easiest to distinguish from reservoir baseline conditions, using $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, although coal and C3 biomass derived CO₂ have a greater chance of overlap.

While no studies have taken place using noble gases inherent to captured CO₂ as a tracer, use of noble gases coexisting with natural CO₂ injected for EOR operations³² and as added tracers for both tracing CO₂ migration (Frio and Ketzin) and quantifying residual saturation (Otway) suggest that noble gases could prove very useful, if their injected composition is different from those of the reservoir baseline. In addition to experimental CCS sites, natural tracers have been used to study reservoir processes in natural CO₂ accumulations with




Figure 3. Comparison of the range of inherent tracer values for storage and leakage reservoirs (Table S1 and Tables S2–S6), and the expected captured CO₂ composition for various CO₂ sources (Table 2). Boxes represent the range of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (V-PDB) and noble gas concentration (relative to air and ASW) in baseline conditions for atmosphere, soil, shallow aquifers, and storage reservoirs, compared to the range of values expected for different sources of captured CO₂. C-isotopes are given in δ notation relative to V-PDB. Noble gases shown for absolute concentrations relative to air and ASW, with dominant components resolvable by isotopic analysis: “L” = light noble gases; “H” = heavy noble gases; “R/T” = radiogenic and/or terrigenous component; “M” = mantle component; “ASW” = air saturated water. ff = fossil fuels; bm = biomass; ISP = integrated steel plant; DRI = directly reduced iron.

combined noble gas and C-isotope measurements identifying CO₂ dissolution into the formation waters.¹⁰²

7. BASELINE FINGERPRINT OF LEAKAGE PATHWAY RESERVOIRS (ATMOSPHERE, SOIL, AND GROUNDWATER AQUIFERS)

The aim of CCS is to prevent CO₂ from entering the atmosphere, so being able to detect seepage of geologically stored CO₂ to the atmosphere is a high priority. However, this remains difficult due to problems associated with identification of leakage sites, leakage plume dilution, and difficulties in establishing a precise local atmospheric baseline. In this section we will briefly review the probable range of baseline conditions for C-isotopes and noble gases in the reservoirs most likely to be influenced by CO₂ leakage and how these may or may not contrast with CO₂ leakage signatures.

7.1. Atmosphere. Carbon Isotopes. Atmospheric CO₂ has $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of between –6 and –8‰ V-PDB²⁷ (Figure 1), but may vary spatially and temporally with local conditions, weather, and anthropogenic activity; e.g., atmospheric measurements in Dallas, TX, USA, ranged from $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CO}_2}$ of –12 to –8‰ over ~1.5 years due to varying photosynthetic uptake, respiration, and anthropogenic sources (vehicle emissions).¹⁰³ C-isotopes can be a sensitive tracer, despite large background fluctuations, by using Keeling plots, which correlate $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CO}_2}$ with inverse CO₂ concentration to determine the isotopic composition of local CO₂ (ecosystem respired and anthropogenic sources)

mixing with regional atmospheric CO₂.¹⁰⁴ If injected CO₂ were to leak to the atmosphere from the subsurface, it should be identifiable using Keeling plots as long as its $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ is different from that of the (previously established) baseline end members. Keeling plots from North and South America suggest that ecosystem respired CO₂ has $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ between -33 and -19‰ ,¹⁰⁴ which is similar to the anticipated $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of CO₂ captured from burning C3 biomass and some fossil fuels; $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of captured CO₂ may thus be especially difficult to distinguish from surface CO₂. Captured CO₂ derived from natural gas combustion may be depleted enough in ¹³C and CO₂ captured from natural gas processing plants may be sufficiently enriched in ¹³C to be distinguishable from local and regional atmospheric sources of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$.

Noble Gases. The concentration of noble gases in the atmosphere is given in Table 1. Atmospheric values for commonly used noble gas isotopic ratios include the following: $^3\text{He}/^4\text{He} = 1 R/R_A$;¹⁰⁵ $^{20}\text{Ne}/^{22}\text{Ne} = 9.8$;¹⁰⁵ $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{36}\text{Ar} = 298.56$.¹⁰⁶ Regardless of the inherent noble gas composition of the injected CO₂ stream, if CO₂ leaks from deep geological storage, it will most likely be accompanied by baseline reservoir noble gases, which will be enriched in radiogenic and terrigenous isotopes (e.g., $^3\text{He}/^4\text{He} \ll 1 R/R_A$; $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{36}\text{Ar} > 298.56$).

7.2. Soil. Carbon Isotopes. As with atmosphere, the stable isotope composition of soil CO₂ can vary spatially and temporally with local conditions. It is governed by a combination of CO₂ produced by soil respiration, fractionation during diffusion, mixing with atmospheric CO₂, and isotopic exchange with soil water.^{89,107} This can result in highly variable $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values for soil that vary on a daily basis. $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ data are available for soil from a number of CCS sites (Supporting Information Table S5), all of which show more than 10‰ variation (between -27 and -7‰) with no evidence of being contaminated by injected CO₂. There is considerable overlap between the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of soil CO₂ and the expected range of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values of captured CO₂ (Figure 3). CO₂ derived from combustion or gasification of natural gas may produce CO₂ significantly more depleted in ¹³C than soil CO₂, while CO₂ captured from natural gas processing may be significantly enriched. Given the wide variation in baseline soil $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values at any given site, C-isotopes in isolation will only be a useful leakage tracer if the leaking CO₂ has a distinctive $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (i.e., derived from natural gas or natural gas processing), and if CO₂ concentration is also measured and Keeling plots are used. Use of $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CO}_2}$ in conjunction with concentrations of oxygen and nitrogen can be a useful tool to identify mixing between atmospheric CO₂ and CO₂ produced in the soil from biological respiration or methane oxidation.¹⁰⁸

Noble Gases. In simplistic terms, noble gases in soil are derived from the atmosphere and partition between gas and water phases; soil gas should have an atmospheric noble gas composition, while fluids have concentrations consistent with air saturated water for the local soil temperature.¹⁰⁹ However, this ideal theoretical behavior is not always observed. Changes to the local combined partial pressure of CO₂ and O₂ (due to the greater solubility of CO₂ over O₂) can cause corresponding changes to noble gas concentrations and elemental ratios, with heavier noble gases more affected than lighter noble gases, likely due to differences in diffusion rate,⁹¹ though isotopic ratios remain atmospheric. Three soil-gas monitoring projects associated with CCS sites provide limited noble gas concentration data (Supporting Information Table S5). At

Weyburn, Ar concentrations¹¹⁰ are atmospheric ($\sim 0.9\%$), and at Rouse, He concentrations from four separate campaigns were consistent at ~ 5 ppm,¹¹¹ again consistent with atmospheric concentrations. At Otway, baseline He concentrations in the soil ranged from 3 to 103 ppm,^{112,113} i.e., ranging between enriched and slightly depleted compared to atmosphere. The reasons for these elevated He concentrations were unknown, but not thought to represent leakage of a deep gas source.

In terms of using noble gases to detect leaking CO₂, isotopic ratios will be the most useful tool. The isotopic ratios of noble gases in baseline soil will be atmospheric (see above), while noble gases in CO₂ leaking from depth will most likely have $^3\text{He}/^4\text{He}$ below atmospheric values, and $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{36}\text{Ar}$ greater than atmosphere, irrespective of the noble gas composition of the injected CO₂, due to enrichment of radiogenic $^4\text{He}^*$ and $^{40}\text{Ar}^*$ in the subsurface (see sections 2.2 and 4). Concentrations of noble gas elements or isotopes may provide additional information, depending on the noble gas content of the injected CO₂, and as long as variations in baseline soil noble gas partial pressure and elemental fractionation due to changing CO₂ + O₂ content are taken into account.

7.3. Shallow Aquifers. Shallow aquifers are often sources of potable water and hence CO₂ leakage into such reservoirs is undesirable. Such reservoirs are recharged by meteoric water and are thus hydrodynamically connected to the surface, so leakage of CO₂ into a shallow aquifer will likely result in escape of some of that CO₂ to the atmosphere. For these reasons, identification and mitigation of any CO₂ leakage into shallow aquifers will be a high priority.

Compared to the atmosphere or soil, baseline geochemical conditions in aquifers are likely to be much more stable, thus providing a higher sensitivity for leak detection. Furthermore, if the hydrodynamic gradient of an aquifer is well-characterized, monitoring wells can be placed downstream of any potential leakage structures, allowing efficient monitoring of a relatively large area without the need to identify and monitor every single potential leakage point.¹¹⁴

Carbon Isotopes. The $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ value of CO₂ and DIC in fresh spring and groundwaters is generally derived during recharge from the soil, followed by dissolution, associated isotope fractionation (see section 5) and weathering of carbonate material.²⁷ Bacterial action can isotopically enrich DIC in ¹³C (up to $+30\text{‰}$), via reduction of CO₂ to methane (Figure 1), or fermentation of acetate to produce CH₄ and CO₂.^{115–118}

Supporting Information Table S5 lists $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ for CO₂ and DIC in selected freshwater springs and aquifers. Data for Ketzin, Altmark, Otway, and Hontomín were collected as part of the CCS monitoring programs. $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values of both CO₂ and DIC range from -24 to -9‰ , consistent with derivation from soil CO₂ (Figure 1). Data from other aquifers extend the range to higher values, indicating bacterial action. $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of CO₂ in shallow aquifers may be difficult to distinguish from $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CO}_2}$ of captured and injected CO₂, especially that derived from coal and biomass feedstocks and cement manufacture.

Noble Gases. Noble gases enter subsurface aquifers via recharge of meteoric water in the vadose zone of soils, and baseline compositions reflect ASW, with or without excess air, for the local soil temperature.¹⁰⁹ While deviations from this ideal behavior have been noted, these are most likely explained by changes to noble gas partial pressure in soil, described above.⁹¹ In general, the processes that result in noble gas

elemental fractionation in meteoric and groundwater are controlled by well-understood physical mechanisms, are related to the residence time of water in the subsurface, and can be modeled.³³ Groundwater may thus provide a well-constrained, predictable baseline for leakage monitoring using noble gases. Furthermore, as noble gases are sparingly soluble in water, the concentration of noble gases in ASW is significantly lower than that of the atmosphere, resulting in a signal-to-noise ratio that is 100 times more sensitive.¹¹⁹ While the processes controlling the noble gas content of groundwater are well-understood and their behavior predictable, they are dependent on specific local recharge conditions, so establishing a precise baseline is essential. As with atmospheric and soil reservoirs, the baseline of recently recharged aquifers is likely to differ from leaking CO₂ by a lack of radiogenic and terrigenous noble gases. However, old (hundreds of thousands of years) groundwaters may exist in aquifers used as domestic and agricultural water sources, and such aquifers may have significant radiogenic and terrigenous components. One such example is the Milk River aquifer in Alberta, Canada, which has groundwater residence times of up to 500 ka.¹²⁰ The majority of noble gases have concentrations between 0.2 and 4 times the expected values for ASW, but radiogenic and terrigenous derived ⁴He is enriched in some wells by more than 2000 times the expected ASW value and ⁴⁰Ar/³⁶Ar values are all greater than atmosphere.¹²¹

8. PAST AND PRESENT USE AND FUTURE POTENTIAL OF INHERENT TRACERS FOR LEAK DETECTION IN CCS PROJECTS

Use of tracers for monitoring leakage of CO₂ into overlying reservoirs (aquifers, soil, and atmosphere) follows the same principles as for in-reservoir monitoring, but with the added complication that the released volumes are likely to be much smaller so sensitivity and detection become a critical issue.

Geochemical analysis is a common monitoring technique for CCS sites, many of which employ surface, soil, and/or groundwater analysis to monitor for leakage or contamination and a recent review¹⁹ provides more details on the theory and practice of using tracers to detect CO₂ leakage into freshwater aquifers. However, in the vast majority of CCS field tests, no leakage has been observed and these projects are thus of limited value in assessing the viability of using inherent tracers as leakage detection. An exception is the Frio CCS project, where added tracers, elevated dissolved CO₂ gas contents, slight increases in HCO₃⁻ concentrations, and substantial depletion in ¹³C of DIC were found in strata above a primary seal (but remaining below the main structural trap for the storage reservoir), indicating that CO₂ had leaked within the subsurface.⁴⁹ These indicators returned to background levels within 9 months of injection, suggesting that the leak was short-lived and occurred early in the injection process. Given that the injection well was 50 years old, leakage from the well itself was considered to be the most likely source of the CO₂, rather than migration between strata.⁴⁹ While it was not possible to calculate the volumes of leaked CO₂ at Frio, it seems that C-isotopes of DIC may provide a potentially powerful tracer of CO₂ leakage when volumes are so low that there is no significant rise in HCO₃⁻ concentration.

At the Rangely-Weber CO₂-EOR site, Colorado, USA, CO₂ and CH₄ gas fluxes were used to quantify microseepage from the reservoir.¹²² Statistical analysis showed that gas flux and isotopic composition were different between the area overlying the reservoir and a nearby control site, but it was not possible

to conclusively attribute these differences to gas seepage from the reservoir. Assuming that the differences were due to gas seepage, maximum seepage rates of 170 tonnes per year CO₂ and 400 tonnes per year CH₄ were calculated.¹²²

Allegations of CO₂ leakage from the Weyburn EOR site into farmland (the Kerr Property) were shown to be unfounded,¹²³ but this case study provides useful insights of how natural tracers can help to determine the origin of CO₂, even when robust baseline data are not available. A number of incidents and CO₂ measurements led the owners of the farmland to believe that CO₂ injected into the Weyburn site was leaking into their property. One of the reasons for this belief highlights a potential downfall of using stable isotope data for tracing CO₂ migration; high concentrations of CO₂ measured in the soil had the same $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CO}_2}$ as the CO₂ being injected as part of the Weyburn project. This $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CO}_2}$ value ($\sim -21\%$) was, however, comparable to typical soil-gas CO₂ compositions¹²⁴ (cf. Figure 1). An independent investigation was commissioned to determine the origin of CO₂ on the site, the results of which showed without doubt that the CO₂ was natural and did not derive from injection of CO₂ for storage or EOR at Weyburn.¹²⁴ For soil gas, correlations of CO₂ with O₂ and N₂ were consistent with a CO₂ origin by soil respiration, rather than addition of an extra CO₂ component, and correlating CO₂ concentration with $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CO}_2}$ showed that the soil-gas isotope composition was easily explained by mixing between atmospheric CO₂ and soil-gas CO₂ with a $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CO}_2}$ of -25% .¹²⁴ Noble gas and C-isotope analyses on groundwater well samples, injected CO₂ and water, and fluids produced from deep in the Weyburn formation confirmed that gas from the reservoir was not present.⁸² Noble gas concentrations in the shallow groundwaters were consistent with ASW, as would be expected for the local groundwater system, while the fluids produced from the Weyburn field were very different; most noble gas concentrations (Ne, Ar, Kr, and Xe) in the Weyburn fluids were much lower than would be expected for ASW, while ⁴He concentrations were much higher, consistent with enrichment of radiogenic ⁴He in the crust.⁸² Furthermore, ³He/⁴He ratios in groundwater samples were indistinguishable from air, while Weyburn fluids had ³He/⁴He values an order of magnitude lower, due to addition of crustal radiogenic ⁴He.⁸² Importantly, Weyburn reservoir water and shallow groundwater samples showed no correlation on a plot of HCO₃⁻ vs ³He/⁴He, which would be expected if mixing occurred between deep, Weyburn fluids and ASW groundwater.⁸²

In the absence of real CO₂ leaks from storage sites, information on tracer behavior during leakage can only be gleaned from controlled release experiments (i.e., injecting CO₂ into a unit that will leak) and studying natural CO₂ or natural gas seeps. Experiments releasing CO₂ into soil at a rate comparable to 0.001% leakage from a 200 Mt CO₂-storage site have been successful at identifying CO₂ leakage using $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ with Keeling plots in both atmosphere and soil, although in these cases the injected CO₂ had a particularly light isotopic signature ($\delta^{13}\text{C} \leftarrow -45\%$).^{36,125-127} An experimental CO₂ leak ($\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CO}_2}$ of -26.6%) into sediments in the North Sea (QICS project) showed that $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$ of pore water registered the leak earlier than significant increases in HCO₃⁻ concentration were detected.¹²⁸ In these examples the difference in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ between baseline and injected CO₂ was 15–25%. In these leakage experiments the migration distance for the injected CO₂ is

small (maximum 11 m – QJCS), and it is possible that the isotopic composition of the CO₂ could change during migration over the larger distances associated with geological storage (kilometres deep). However, detailed modeling of potential leakage at the QUEST CCS project, Edmonton, Canada, from the Basal Cambrian Sandstone (BCS) storage reservoir into overlying aquifers indicated that the $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CO}_2}$ of the leaking CO₂ would differ from the injected CO₂ by less than 1‰.⁵²

Another experiment released CO₂ with He and Kr tracers into the vadose zone of limestone and showed that molecular diffusivity was not an adequate model for coupled CO₂–tracer behavior; while He and Kr behaved according to predictive models, the CO₂ took significantly longer to travel through the substrate.⁹⁷ This experiment gives weight to the hypothesis that noble gases may provide an early warning of CO₂ leakage. Further evidence suggesting that noble gases may be useful tracers of CO₂ migration comes from studies on natural CO₂ and gas seeps. At St John's, Arizona/New Mexico, USA, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ was inconclusive in establishing the origin of elevated HCO₃[−] in spring water, but He and Ne isotopes identified both mantle and crustal components and thus a deep origin for the CO₂.¹²⁹ He and Ne isotopes were similarly used to confirm that elevated levels of soil-gas CO₂ and CH₄ were due to microseepage of gas from deep, hydrocarbon-bearing formations at Teapot Dome, Wyoming.¹¹⁹ Importantly, this study established that total He concentrations of approximately just 10 ppm in soil gas and 0.1 ppm in groundwater aquifers would be sufficient to identify deep-sourced He.¹¹⁹

In summary, C-isotopes may be useful for detection of leakage and seepage if the baseline and injected CO₂ are significantly different. When isotopic compositions are not distinctive, combining $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ with CO₂, O₂, and N₂ concentrations can help to constrain the CO₂ origin. Of the noble gases, He is a particularly sensitive leak and seep tracer due to its low background concentrations at the surface and likely high concentration in the storage reservoir, regardless of the He content of the injected CO₂.

9. SUMMARY, IMPLICATIONS, AND CONCLUSIONS

The inherent stable isotope and noble gas composition of captured CO₂ has the potential to provide powerful monitoring tools for carbon capture and storage projects, both for in-reservoir processes and for identifying leakage and seepage from the storage unit. This application requires significant compositional differences between the injected CO₂ and the reservoir (for in-reservoir monitoring) and between the reservoir plus injected CO₂ and overlying shallow aquifers, soil, and atmosphere (for seepage monitoring).

In simplistic terms, captured CO₂ is generated in two stages: (1) initial reaction of a feedstock to produce a CO₂-bearing flue gas and (2) separation of the CO₂ from the other flue gases. When considering the likely isotopic and noble gas composition of the captured CO₂ stream, we found that the C-isotope composition and noble gas isotope ratios will be dominated by the initial feedstock, and noble gas concentrations will be controlled by the use of CO₂ purification technology.

For fossil fuel and C3 biomass feedstocks a $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ fractionation of −1.3‰ from the feedstock can be expected while the combustion of C4 biomass may result in greater isotope fractionation. There is a notable lack of information

regarding the effect of CO₂ separation technologies (e.g., amine capture) on the stable isotope composition of captured CO₂, but ¹³C depletion by tens of permil is hypothetically possible. Combining hypothetical considerations with the small amount of available data suggests that C-isotope fractionation during amine capture will be between −20 and +3‰. This amounts to a total fractionation between the feedstock and the captured CO₂ of between −21 and +2‰. A lack of solubility data for noble gases in amine solvents and a lack of detailed noble gas measurements on captured CO₂ makes it difficult to predict the noble gas content. Fossil fuel feedstocks are likely to be enriched in radiogenic or terrigenous noble gases (especially ⁴He), and this isotopic component will be transferred to the CO₂, although any CO₂-purification processes are likely to cause noble gas depletion. However, there is a growing body of evidence to suggest that oxyfuel CO₂ (and other processes that use cryogenic oxygen, such as Syngas plants) may be enriched in heavy noble gases Kr and Xe. While fossil fuels remain the dominant feedstock, CO₂ captured from power plants will likely have a high ⁴He content (at least before amine capture), but this will change over time if fossil fuels are increasingly replaced with biomass to provide renewable energy with potentially negative CO₂ emissions.

Likely baseline compositions for storage reservoirs and overlying aquifers, soil, and atmosphere were reviewed to assess the likelihood that injected CO₂ will be isotopically different, and this is summarized in Figure 3. Use of fossil fuels and C3 biomass feedstocks are most likely to produce captured CO₂ with $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ distinctive from baseline conditions, although $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of the CO₂ in some storage reservoirs may be difficult to distinguish from coal or C3 biomass derived CO₂. CO₂ generated from C4 biomass, fermentation, cement manufacture, and natural gas processing will be more difficult to distinguish. Elevated ⁴He concentrations that are expected to occur in various sources of captured CO₂ are unlikely to contrast with storage reservoir baseline conditions due to the presence of radiogenic and terrigenous ⁴He, but may provide a highly sensitive tracer for detecting leakage and seepage. Elevated Kr and Xe in CO₂ captured from processes that use cryogenic oxygen may be useful, but there is not yet enough data to assess how ubiquitous this enrichment is and whether the concentrations involved are sufficient to allow detection in the reservoir. We note, however, that the wide range of noble gas element and isotope ratios that can be measured means that detailed baseline characterization of reservoir and injected CO₂ is likely to yield some combination of noble gas ratios that provide a suitable in-reservoir tracer.

A number of fundamental questions remain unanswered due to a lack of empirical data. While we have tried to address these questions hypothetically, more research is necessary to test these hypotheses. Specifically, (1) will carbon capture technologies result in low noble gas concentrations with preferential loss of light noble gases, and how much C-isotope fractionation will take place during carbon capture? (2) Will CO₂ captured from oxyfuel plants have a higher noble gas content than amine-captured CO₂, especially for heavy noble gases? (3) Will migration of the CO₂ plume over geological distances result in significant fractionation of stable isotopes or noble gases, over what time scales and distance will this fractionation be observed, and how might this affect our ability to identify CO₂ leakage or seepage?

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

● Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: [10.1021/acs.est.6b01548](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b01548).

Information regarding feedstock composition and gasification processes (PDF)

Pivot table of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of various naturally occurring materials with data sources (XLSX)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*Phone: +44 (0)131 6507010; fax: +44(0)131 6507340; email: sflude@gmail.com.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by EPSRC Grant No. EP/K036033/1. Editor Daniel Giammar and five anonymous reviewers are thanked for their comments and discussion.

■ REFERENCES

- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. *Climate change 2013: The physical science basis*, Working Group I contribution to the fifth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, U.K., 2013.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. *Climate change 2007: Mitigation*, Contribution of Working Group III to the fourth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; Metz, B., Davidson, O. R., Bosch, P. R., Dave, R., Meyer, L. A., Eds.; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, U.K., 2007.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Summary for policymakers and technical summary. *Climate change 2001: The scientific basis*, Working Group I report; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, U.K., 2001.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Summary for policymakers and technical summary. *The Science of Climate Change*, Working Group I report; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, U.K., 1996.
- Energy technology perspectives 2014: Towards sustainable urban energy systems*, Executive Summary; International Energy Agency: Paris, 2014; 14 pp.
- Azar, C.; Johansson, D. J. A.; Mattsson, N. Meeting global temperature targets—the role of bioenergy with carbon capture and storage. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **2013**, *8* (3), 034004.
- IPCC Special Report on Carbon Capture and Storage*; Metz, B., Davidson, O., de Coninck, H., Loos, M., Meyer, L., Eds.; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, U.K., 2005.
- Pipitone, G.; Bolland, O. Power generation with CO₂ capture: Technology for CO₂ purification. *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control* **2009**, *3* (5), 528–534.
- Aspelund, A.; Jordal, K. Gas conditioning—The interface between CO₂ capture and transport. *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control* **2007**, *1* (3), 343–354.
- DIRECTIVE 2009/31/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 23 April 2009 on the geological storage of carbon dioxide and amending Council Directive 85/337/EEC, European Parliament and Council Directives 2000/60/EC, 2001/80/EC, 2004/35/EC, 2006/12/EC, 2008/1/EC and Regulation (EC) No. 1013/2006. *Official Journal of the European Union*; European Parliament: Brussels, Belgium, 2009.
- Johnson, G.; Mayer, B.; Nightingale, M.; Shevalier, M.; Hutcheon, I. Using oxygen isotope ratios to quantitatively assess trapping mechanisms during CO₂ injection into geological reservoirs: The Pembina case study. *Chem. Geol.* **2011**, *283* (3–4), 185–193.
- Mayer, B.; Humez, P.; Becker, V.; Dalkhaa, C.; Rock, L.; Myrntinen, A.; Barth, J. A. C. Assessing the usefulness of the isotopic composition of CO₂ for leakage monitoring at CO₂ storage sites: A review. *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control* **2015**, *37*, 46–60.
- White, D. J.; Hirsche, K.; Davis, T.; Hutcheon, I.; Adair, R.; Burrowes, S.; Graham, S.; Bencini, R.; Majer, E.; Maxwell, S. C. Theme 2: Prediction, monitoring and verification of CO₂ movements. In *IEA GHG Weyburn CO₂ Monitoring and Storage Project Summary Report 2000–2004*; Wilson, M., Monea, M., Eds.; Petroleum Technology Research Centre: Regina, Saskatchewan, Canada, 2004; Vol. III, pp 15–69.
- Bielicki, J. M.; Peters, C. A.; Fitts, J. P.; Wilson, E. J. An examination of geologic carbon sequestration policies in the context of leakage potential. *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control* **2015**, *37*, 61–75.
- Monitoring, Verification, and Accounting of CO₂ Stored in Deep Geologic Formations*, DOE/NETL-311/081508; National Energy Technology Laboratory: Albany, OR, USA, 2009; 132 pp.
- Wells, A. W.; Diehl, J. R.; Bromhal, G.; Strazisar, B. R.; Wilson, T. H.; White, C. M. The use of tracers to assess leakage from the sequestration of CO₂ in a depleted oil reservoir, New Mexico, USA. *Appl. Geochem.* **2007**, *22* (5), 996–1016.
- Myers, M.; Stalker, L.; Pejčić, B.; Ross, A. Tracers – Past, present and future applications in CO₂ geosequestration. *Appl. Geochem.* **2013**, *30*, 125–135.
- Nimz, G. J.; Hudson, G. B. The use of noble gas isotopes for monitoring leakage of geologically stored CO₂. In *Carbon dioxide capture for storage in deep geologic formations—Results from the CO₂ capture project*; Vol. 2, Geologic storage of carbon dioxide with monitoring and verification; Thomas, D. C., Benson, S. M., Eds.; Elsevier: Thatcham, U.K., 2005; pp 1113–1128, DOI: [10.1016/B978-008044570-0/S0152-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-008044570-0/S0152-5).
- Humez, P.; Lions, J.; Négrel, P.; Lagneau, V. CO₂ intrusion in freshwater aquifers: Review of geochemical tracers and monitoring tools, classical uses and innovative approaches. *Appl. Geochem.* **2014**, *46*, 95–108.
- Ljosland, E.; Bjørnstad, T.; Dugstad, Ø.; Hundere, I. Perfluorocarbon tracer studies at the Gullfaks field in the North Sea. *J. Pet. Sci. Eng.* **1993**, *10* (1), 27–38.
- Stalker, L.; Myers, M. Tracers – Pilot versus Commercial Scale Deployment for Carbon Storage. *Energy Procedia* **2014**, *63*, 4199–4208.
- Watson, T. B.; Sullivan, T. Feasibility of a Perfluorocarbon tracer based network to support Monitoring, Verification, and Accounting of Sequestered CO₂. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *46* (3), 1692–1699.
- Becker, V.; Myrntinen, A.; Blum, P.; van Geldern, R.; Barth, J. A. C. Predicting $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$ dynamics in CCS: A scheme based on a review of inorganic carbon chemistry under elevated pressures and temperatures. *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control* **2011**, *5* (5), 1250–1258.
- Kharaka, Y. K.; Cole, D. R.; Thordsen, J. J.; Gans, K. D.; Thomas, R. B. Geochemical Monitoring for Potential Environmental Impacts of Geologic Sequestration of CO₂. *Rev. Mineral. Geochem.* **2013**, *77* (1), 399–430.
- Myrntinen, A.; Becker, V.; Barth, J. A. C. A review of methods used for equilibrium isotope fractionation investigations between dissolved inorganic carbon and CO₂. *Earth-Sci. Rev.* **2012**, *115* (3), 192–199.
- Nowak, M.; Myrntinen, A.; van Geldern, R.; Becker, V.; Mayer, B.; Barth, J. A. C. A brief overview of isotope measurements carried out at various CCS pilot sites worldwide. In *Clean Energy Systems in the Subsurface: Production, Storage and Conversion*; Hou, M. Z., Xie, H., Were, P., Eds.; Springer Series in Geomechanics and Geoenvironmental Engineering; Springer: Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013; pp 75–87, DOI: [10.1007/978-3-642-37849-2_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-37849-2_7).
- Clark, I. D.; Fritz, P. *Environmental Isotopes in Hydrogeology*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 1997.
- Aeschbach-Hertig, W.; Solomon, D. K. Noble Gas Thermometry in Groundwater Hydrology. In *The Noble Gases as Geochemical*

- Tracers; Burnard, P., Ed.; Springer: Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013; pp 81–122, DOI: [10.1007/978-3-642-28836-4_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-28836-4_5).
- (29) Prinzhofer, A. Noble Gases in Oil and Gas Accumulations. In *The Noble Gases as Geochemical Tracers*; Burnard, P., Ed.; Springer: Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013; pp 225–247, DOI: [10.1007/978-3-642-28836-4_9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-28836-4_9).
- (30) Ballentine, C. J.; Burgess, R.; Marty, B. Tracing Fluid Origin, Transport and Interaction in the Crust. *Rev. Mineral. Geochem.* **2002**, *47* (1), 539–614.
- (31) Györe, D.; Stuart, F. M.; Gilfillan, S. M. V.; Waldron, S. Tracing injected CO₂ in the Cranfield enhanced oil recovery field (MS, USA) using He, Ne and Ar isotopes. *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control* **2015**, *42*, 554–561.
- (32) Kipfer, R.; Aeschbach-Hertig, W.; Peeters, F.; Stute, M. Noble Gases in Lakes and Ground Waters. *Rev. Mineral. Geochem.* **2002**, *47* (1), 615–700.
- (33) Widory, D. Combustibles, fuels and their combustion products: A view through carbon isotopes. *Combust. Theory Modell.* **2006**, *10* (5), 831–841.
- (34) Warwick, P. D.; Ruppert, L. F. Carbon and oxygen isotopes of coal and carbon dioxide derived from laboratory coal combustion. *Int. J. Coal Geol.* **2016**, DOI: [10.1016/j.coal.2016.06.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coal.2016.06.009).
- (35) Moni, C.; Rasse, D. P. Detection of simulated leaks from geologically stored CO₂ with ¹³C monitoring. *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control* **2014**, *26*, 61–68.
- (36) Turekian, V. C.; Macko, S.; Ballentine, D.; Swap, R. J.; Garstang, M. Causes of bulk carbon and nitrogen isotopic fractionations in the products of vegetation burns: laboratory studies. *Chem. Geol.* **1998**, *152* (1–2), 181–192.
- (37) Garcia, B.; Billiot, J. H.; Rouchon, V.; Mouronval, G.; Lescanne, M.; Lachet, V.; Aimard, N. A Geochemical Approach for Monitoring a CO₂ Pilot Site: Rouse, France. A Major gases, CO₂-Carbon Isotopes and Noble Gases Combined Approach. *Oil Gas Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *67* (2), 341–353.
- (38) Bhagavatula, A.; Huffman, G.; Shah, N.; Romanek, C.; Honaker, R. Source apportionment of carbon during gasification of coal-biomass blends using stable carbon isotope analysis. *Fuel Process. Technol.* **2014**, *128*, 83–93.
- (39) Bottinga, Y. Calculated fractionation factors for carbon and hydrogen isotope exchange in the system calcite-carbon dioxide-graphite-methane-hydrogen-water vapor. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **1969**, *33* (1), 49–64.
- (40) Brasseur, A.; Antenucci, D.; Bouquegneau, J.-M.; Coëme, A.; Dauby, P.; Létolle, R.; Mostade, M.; Pirlot, P.; Pirard, J.-P. Carbon stable isotope analysis as a tool for tracing temperature during the El Tremedal underground coal gasification at great depth. *Fuel* **2002**, *81* (1), 109–117.
- (41) Richet, P.; Bottinga, Y.; Javoy, M. A Review of Hydrogen, Carbon, Nitrogen, Oxygen, Sulphur, and Chlorine Stable Isotope Fractionation Among Gaseous Molecules. *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.* **1977**, *5* (1), 65–110.
- (42) Dufaux, A.; Gaveau, B.; Letolle, R.; Mostade, M.; Noel, M.; Pirard, J. Modelling of the underground coal gasification process at Thulin on the basis of thermodynamic equilibria and isotopic measurements. *Fuel* **1990**, *69* (5), 624–632.
- (43) Du, J.; Jin, Z.; Xie, H.; Bai, L.; Liu, W. Stable carbon isotope compositions of gaseous hydrocarbons produced from high pressure and high temperature pyrolysis of lignite. *Org. Geochem.* **2003**, *34* (1), 97–104.
- (44) Shuai, Y.; Zhang, S.; Peng, P.; Zou, Y.; Yuan, X.; Liu, J. Occurrence of heavy carbon dioxide of organic origin: Evidence from confined dry pyrolysis of coal. *Chem. Geol.* **2013**, *358*, 54–60.
- (45) Martens, S.; Kempka, T.; Liebscher, A.; Lüth, S.; Möller, F.; Myrtilinen, A.; Norden, B.; Schmidt-Hattenberger, C.; Zimmer, M.; Kühn, M. Europe's longest-operating on-shore CO₂ storage site at Ketzin, Germany: a progress report after three years of injection. *Environ. Earth Sci.* **2012**, *67* (2), 323–334.
- (46) Nowak, M. E.; van Geldern, R.; Myrtilinen, A.; Zimmer, M.; Barth, J. A. C. High-resolution stable carbon isotope monitoring indicates variable flow dynamic patterns in a deep saline aquifer at the Ketzin pilot site (Germany). *Appl. Geochem.* **2014**, *47*, 44–51.
- (47) Hovorka, S. D.; Benson, S. M.; Doughty, C.; Freifeld, B. M.; Sakurai, S.; Daley, T. M.; Kharaka, Y. K.; Holtz, M. H.; Trautz, R. C.; Nance, H. S.; et al. Measuring permanence of CO₂ storage in saline formations: the Frio experiment. *Environ. Geosci.* **2006**, *13* (2), 105–121.
- (48) Kharaka, Y. K.; Thordsen, J. J.; Hovorka, S. D.; Seay Nance, H.; Cole, D. R.; Phelps, T. J.; Knauss, K. G. Potential environmental issues of CO₂ storage in deep saline aquifers: Geochemical results from the Frio-I Brine Pilot test, Texas, USA. *Appl. Geochem.* **2009**, *24* (6), 1106–1112.
- (49) Shell. <http://www.shell.ca/en/aboutshell/our-business-tpkg/upstream/oil-sands/quest/technology.html>. Accessed 2015.
- (50) Olateju, B.; Kumar, A. Techno-economic assessment of hydrogen production from underground coal gasification (UCG) in Western Canada with carbon capture and sequestration (CCS) for upgrading bitumen from oil sands. *Appl. Energy* **2013**, *111*, 428–440.
- (51) Shevalier, M.; Dalkha, C.; Humez, P.; Mayer, B.; Becker, V.; Nightingale, M.; Rock, L.; Zhang, G. Coupling of TOUGHREACT-Geochemist Workbench (GWB) for Modeling Changes in the Isotopic Composition of CO₂ Leaking from a CCS Storage Reservoir. *Energy Procedia* **2014**, *63*, 3751–3760.
- (52) Mayer, B.; Shevalier, M.; Nightingale, M.; Kwon, J.-S.; Johnson, G.; Raistrick, M.; Hutcheon, I.; Perkins, E. Tracing the movement and the fate of injected CO₂ at the IEA GHG Weyburn-Midale CO₂ Monitoring and Storage project (Saskatchewan, Canada) using carbon isotope ratios. *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control* **2013**, *16*, S177–S184.
- (53) Harrington, G. J.; Clechenko, E. R.; Clay Kelly, D. Palynology and organic-carbon isotope ratios across a terrestrial Palaeocene/Eocene boundary section in the Williston Basin, North Dakota, USA. *Palaeogeogr., Palaeoclimatol., Palaeoecol.* **2005**, *226* (3–4), 214–232.
- (54) Koçar, G.; Civaş, N. An overview of biofuels from energy crops: Current status and future prospects. *Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev.* **2013**, *28*, 900–916.
- (55) Sannigrahi, P.; Ragauskas, A. J.; Tuskan, G. A. Poplar as a feedstock for biofuels: A review of compositional characteristics. *Biofuels, Bioprod. Biorefin.* **2010**, *4* (2), 209–226.
- (56) Xu, Y.; Isom, L.; Hanna, M. A. Adding value to carbon dioxide from ethanol fermentations. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2010**, *101* (10), 3311–3319.
- (57) Rossmann, A.; Butzenlechner, M.; Schmidt, H.-L. Evidence for a Nonstatistical Carbon Isotope Distribution in Natural Glucose. *Plant Physiol.* **1991**, *96* (2), 609–614.
- (58) Hobbie, E. A.; Werner, R. A. Intramolecular, compound-specific, and bulk carbon isotope patterns in C₃ and C₄ plants: A review and synthesis. *New Phytol.* **2004**, *161* (2), 371–385.
- (59) Scrimgeour, C. M.; Bennet, W. M.; Connacher, A. A. A convenient method of screening glucose for ¹³C: ¹²C ratio for use in stable isotope tracer studies. *Biol. Mass Spectrom.* **1988**, *17* (4), 265–266.
- (60) Weber, D.; Kexel, H.; Schmidt, H.-L. ¹³C-Pattern of Natural Glycerol: Origin and Practical Importance. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1997**, *45* (6), 2042–2046.
- (61) Cabañero, A. I.; Rupérez, M. Carbon isotopic characterization of cider CO₂ by isotope ratio mass spectrometry: a tool for quality and authenticity assessment: Carbon isotopic characterization of cider CO₂ by IRMS. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **2012**, *26* (16), 1753–1760.
- (62) Ghoshal, S.; Zeman, F. Carbon dioxide (CO₂) capture and storage technology in the cement and concrete industry. In *Developments and Innovation in Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) Capture and Storage Technology*; Wood Head: Oxford, U.K., 2010; pp 469–491, DOI: [10.1533/9781845699574.5.469](https://doi.org/10.1533/9781845699574.5.469).
- (63) Srivastava, R. K.; Vijay, S.; Torres, E. Reduction of Multi-pollutant Emissions from Industrial Sectors: The U.S. Cement Industry—A Case Study. In *Global Climate Change—The Technology Challenge*; Princiotta, F., Ed.; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2011; Vol. 38, pp 241–272, DOI: [10.1007/978-90-481-3153-2_8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-3153-2_8).

- (64) Andres, R. J.; Marland, G.; Boden, T.; Bischof, S. Carbon dioxide emissions from fossil fuel consumption and cement manufacture, 1751–1991 and an estimate of their isotopic composition and latitudinal distribution. In *The Carbon Cycle*; Wigley, T. M., Schimel, D. S., Eds.; Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- (65) Andres, R. J.; Marland, G.; Boden, T.; Bischof, S. Carbon Dioxide Emissions from Fossil Fuel Consumption and Cement Manufacture, 1751–1991, and an Estimate of Their Isotopic Composition and Latitudinal Distribution. In *The Carbon Cycle*; Wigley, T. M. L., Schimel, D. S., Eds.; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, 2000; pp 53–62.
- (66) Birat, J.-P. Carbon dioxide (CO₂) capture and storage technology in the iron and steel industry. In *Developments and Innovation in Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) Capture and Storage Technology*; Maroto-Valer, M. M., Ed.; Wood Head: Oxford, U.K., 2010; pp 492–521, DOI: [10.1533/9781845699574.5.492](https://doi.org/10.1533/9781845699574.5.492).
- (67) Lee, A. S.; Eslick, J. C.; Miller, D. C.; Kitchin, J. R. Comparisons of amine solvents for post-combustion CO₂ capture: A multi-objective analysis approach. *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control* **2013**, *18*, 68–74.
- (68) Mook, W. G.; Bommerson, J. C.; Staverman, W. H. Carbon isotope fractionation between dissolved bicarbonate and gaseous carbon dioxide. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **1974**, *22* (2), 169–176.
- (69) Tipton, P. A.; Cleland, W. W. Carbon-13 isotope effects on reactions involving carbamate and carbamoyl phosphate. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **1988**, *260* (1), 273–276.
- (70) Usdowski, E.; Hoefs, J. ¹³C/¹²C fractionation during the chemical absorption of CO₂ gas by the NH₃-NH₄Cl buffer. *Chem. Geol.: Isotope Geosci. Sect.* **1988**, *73* (1), 79–85.
- (71) Pembina Cardium “A” Lease—CO₂ Pilot Project Annual Report; Penn West Energy Trust: Calgary, Alberta, Canada, 2006.
- (72) Sheng, X.; Nakai, S.; Wakita, H.; Yongchang, X.; Wang, X. Carbon isotopes of hydrocarbons and carbon dioxide in natural gases in China. *J. Asian Earth Sci.* **1997**, *15* (1), 89–101.
- (73) Abbas, Z.; Mezher, T.; Abu-Zahra, M. R. M. CO₂ purification. Part I: Purification requirement review and the selection of impurities deep removal technologies. *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control* **2013**, *16*, 324–334.
- (74) Chen, W.-H.; Chen, S.-M.; Hung, C.-I. Carbon dioxide capture by single droplet using Selexol, Rectisol and water as absorbents: A theoretical approach. *Appl. Energy* **2013**, *111*, 731–741.
- (75) Abrosimov, V. K.; Ivanov, E. V.; Lebedeva, E. Y. Solubility and Thermodynamics of Solvation of Krypton in Aqueous-Methanol Solutions of Urea at 101325 Pa and 278–318 K. *Russ. J. Gen. Chem.* **2005**, *75* (7), 1010–1016.
- (76) Mainar, A. M.; Martínez-López, J. F.; Langa, E.; Pérez, E.; Pardo, J. I. Solubility of gases in fluoroorganic alcohols. Part II. Solubilities of noble gases in (water+1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoropropan-2-ol) at 298.15K and 101.33 kPa. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **2012**, *47*, 376–381.
- (77) McGrail, B. P.; Schaef, H. T.; Ho, A. M.; Chien, Y.-J.; Dooley, J. J.; Davidson, C. L. Potential for carbon dioxide sequestration in flood basalts. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* **2006**, *111* (B12), B12201.
- (78) Emberley, S.; Hutcheon, I.; Shevalier, M.; Durocher, K.; Gunter, W. D.; Perkins, E. H. Geochemical monitoring of fluid-rock interaction and CO₂ storage at the Weyburn CO₂-injection enhanced oil recovery site, Saskatchewan, Canada. *Energy* **2004**, *29* (9–10), 1393–1401.
- (79) Myrntinen, A.; Becker, V.; Nowak, M.; Zimmer, M.; Pilz, P.; Barth, J. A. C. Analyses of pre-injection reservoir data for stable carbon isotope trend predictions in CO₂ monitoring: preparing for CO₂ injection. *Environ. Earth Sci.* **2012**, *67* (2), 473–479.
- (80) Myrntinen, A.; Becker, V.; van Geldern, R.; Würdemann, H.; Morozova, D.; Zimmer, M.; Taubald, H.; Blum, P.; Barth, J. A. C. Carbon and oxygen isotope indications for CO₂ behaviour after injection: First results from the Ketzin site (Germany). *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control* **2010**, *4* (6), 1000–1006.
- (81) Gilfillan, S. M. V.; Haszeldine, R. S. Report on noble gas, carbon stable isotope and HCO₃ measurements from the Kerr Quarter and surrounding area, Goodwater, Saskatchewan. In *The Kerr Investigation Final Report: Findings of the investigation into the impact of CO₂ on the Kerr property*; Sherk, G. W., Ed.; IPAC CO₂ Research: Regina, Saskatchewan, Canada, 2011; pp 77–102.
- (82) Gilfillan, S.; Haszeldine, S.; Stuart, F.; Gyore, D.; Kilgallon, R.; Wilkinson, M. The application of noble gases and carbon stable isotopes in tracing the fate, migration and storage of CO₂. *Energy Procedia* **2014**, *63*, 4123–4133.
- (83) Gilfillan, S. M. V.; Ballentine, C. J.; Holland, G.; Blagburn, D.; Lollar, B. S.; Stevens, S.; Schoell, M.; Cassidy, M. The noble gas geochemistry of natural CO₂ gas reservoirs from the Colorado Plateau and Rocky Mountain provinces, USA. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **2008**, *72* (4), 1174–1198.
- (84) Ballentine, C. J.; O’Nions, R. K.; Oxburgh, E. R.; Horvath, F.; Deak, J. Rare gas constraints on hydrocarbon accumulation, crustal degassing and groundwater flow in the Pannonian Basin. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **1991**, *105* (1–3), 229–246.
- (85) Ballentine, C. J.; O’Nions, R. K.; Coleman, M. L. A Magnus opus: Helium, neon, and argon isotopes in a North Sea oilfield. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **1996**, *60* (5), 831–849.
- (86) Pinti, D. L.; Marty, B. *The origin of helium in deep sedimentary aquifers and the problem of dating very old groundwaters*; Special Publications; Geological Society: London, 1998; Vol. 144, pp 53–68, DOI: [10.1144/GSL.SP.1998.144.01.05](https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1998.144.01.05).
- (87) Torgersen, T.; Kennedy, B. M. Air-Xe enrichments in Elk Hills oil field gases: role of water in migration and storage. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **1999**, *167* (3–4), 239–253.
- (88) Stern, L.; Baisden, W. T.; Amundson, R. Processes controlling the oxygen isotope ratio of soil CO₂: analytic and numerical modeling. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **1999**, *63* (6), 799–814.
- (89) Larson, T. E.; Breecker, D. O. Adsorption isotope effects for carbon dioxide from Illite- and quartz-packed column experiments. *Chem. Geol.* **2014**, *370*, 58–68.
- (90) Freundt, F.; Schneider, T.; Aeschbach-Hertig, W. Response of noble gas partial pressures in soil air to oxygen depletion. *Chem. Geol.* **2013**, *339*, 283–290.
- (91) Ozima, M.; Podosek, F. A. *Noble Gas Geochemistry*, 2nd ed.; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, U.K., 2002.
- (92) Warr, O.; Rochelle, C. A.; Masters, A.; Ballentine, C. J. Determining noble gas partitioning within a CO₂-H₂O system at elevated temperatures and pressures. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **2015**, *159*, 112–125.
- (93) Bernatowicz, T. J.; Podosek, F. A.; Honda, M.; Kramer, F. E. The atmospheric inventory of xenon and noble gases in shales: the plastic bag experiment. *Journal of Geophysical Research* **1984**, *89* (B6), 4597.
- (94) Podosek, F. A.; Bernatowicz, T. J.; Kramer, F. E. Adsorption of xenon and krypton on shales. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **1981**, *45* (12), 2401–2415.
- (95) Bachu, S.; Bennion, D. B. Experimental assessment of brine and/or CO₂ leakage through well cements at reservoir conditions. *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control* **2009**, *3* (4), 494–501.
- (96) Cohen, G.; Loisy, C.; Laveuf, C.; Le Roux, O.; Delaplace, P.; Magnier, C.; Rouchon, V.; Garcia, B.; Cerepi, A. The CO₂-Vadose project: Experimental study and modelling of CO₂ induced leakage and tracers associated in the carbonate vadose zone. *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control* **2013**, *14*, 128–140.
- (97) Carrigan, C. R.; Heinle, R. A.; Hudson, G. B.; Nitao, J. J.; Zucca, J. J. Trace gas emissions on geological faults as indicators of underground nuclear testing. *Nature* **1996**, *382* (6591), 528–531.
- (98) Emberley, S.; Hutcheon, I.; Shevalier, M.; Durocher, K.; Mayer, B.; Gunter, W. D.; Perkins, E. H. Monitoring of fluid-rock interaction and CO₂ storage through produced fluid sampling at the Weyburn CO₂-injection enhanced oil recovery site, Saskatchewan, Canada. *Appl. Geochem.* **2005**, *20* (6), 1131–1157.
- (99) Raistrick, M.; Mayer, B.; Shevalier, M.; Perez, R. J.; Hutcheon, I.; Perkins, E.; Gunter, B. Using Chemical and Isotopic Data to Quantify Ionic Trapping of Injected Carbon Dioxide in Oil Field Brines. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2006**, *40* (21), 6744–6749.
- (100) Shevalier, M.; Nightingale, M.; Johnson, G.; Mayer, B.; Perkins, E.; Hutcheon, I. Monitoring the reservoir geochemistry of the

Pembina Cardium CO₂ monitoring project, Drayton Valley, Alberta. *Energy Procedia* **2009**, *1* (1), 2095–2102.

(101) Lu, J.; Kharaka, Y. K.; Thordsen, J. J.; Horita, J.; Karamalidis, A.; Griffith, C.; Hakala, J. A.; Ambats, G.; Cole, D. R.; Phelps, T. J.; et al. CO₂–rock–brine interactions in Lower Tuscaloosa Formation at Cranfield CO₂ sequestration site, Mississippi, U.S.A. *Chem. Geol.* **2012**, *291*, 269–277.

(102) Gilfillan, S. M. V.; Lollar, B. S.; Holland, G.; Blagburn, D.; Stevens, S.; Schoell, M.; Cassidy, M.; Ding, Z.; Zhou, Z.; Lacrampe-Couloume, G.; et al. Solubility trapping in formation water as dominant CO₂ sink in natural gas fields. *Nature* **2009**, *458* (7238), 614–618.

(103) Clark-Thorne, S. T.; Yapp, C. J. Stable carbon isotope constraints on mixing and mass balance of CO₂ in an urban atmosphere: Dallas metropolitan area, Texas, USA. *Appl. Geochem.* **2003**, *18* (1), 75–95.

(104) Pataki, D. E.; Ehleringer, J. R.; Flanagan, L. B.; Yakir, D.; Bowling, D. R.; Still, C. J.; Buchmann, N.; Kaplan, J. O.; Berry, J. A. The application and interpretation of Keeling plots in terrestrial carbon cycle research. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* **2003**, *17* (1), 1022.

(105) Porcelli, D.; Ballentine, C. J.; Wieler, R. An Overview of Noble Gas Geochemistry and Cosmochemistry. *Rev. Mineral. Geochem.* **2002**, *47* (1), 1–19.

(106) Lee, J.-Y.; Marti, K.; Severinghaus, J. P.; Kawamura, K.; Yoo, H.-S.; Lee, J. B.; Kim, J. S. A redetermination of the isotopic abundances of atmospheric Ar. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **2006**, *70* (17), 4507–4512.

(107) Amundson, R.; Stern, L.; Baisden, T.; Wang, Y. The isotopic composition of soil and soil-respired CO₂. *Geoderma* **1998**, *82* (1–3), 83–114.

(108) Romanak, K. D.; Bennett, P. C.; Yang, C.; Hovorka, S. D. Process-based approach to CO₂ leakage detection by vadose zone gas monitoring at geologic CO₂ storage sites. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **2012**, *39* (15), L15405.

(109) Klump, S.; Tomonaga, Y.; Kienzler, P.; Kinzelbach, W.; Baumann, T.; Imboden, D. M.; Kipfer, R. Field experiments yield new insights into gas exchange and excess air formation in natural porous media. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **2007**, *71* (6), 1385–1397.

(110) Romanak, K. D.; Wolaver, B.; Yang, C.; Sherk, G. W.; Dale, J.; Dobeck, L. M.; Spangler, L. H. Process-based soil gas leakage assessment at the Kerr Farm: Comparison of results to leakage proxies at ZERT and Mt. Etna. *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control* **2014**, *30*, 42–57.

(111) Gal, F.; Michel, K.; Pokryszka, Z.; Lafortune, S.; Garcia, B.; Rouchon, V.; de Donato, P.; Pironon, J.; Barres, O.; Taquet, N.; et al. Study of the environmental variability of gaseous emanations over a CO₂ injection pilot—Application to the French Pyrenean foreland. *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control* **2014**, *21*, 177–190.

(112) Schacht, U.; Jenkins, C. Soil gas monitoring of the Otway Project demonstration site in SE Victoria, Australia. *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control* **2014**, *24*, 14–29.

(113) Schacht, U.; Regan, M.; Boreham, C.; Sharma, S. CO₂CRC Otway project—Soil gas baseline and assurance monitoring 2007–2010. *Energy Procedia* **2011**, *4*, 3346–3353.

(114) Carroll, S.; Hao, Y.; Aines, R. Geochemical detection of carbon dioxide in dilute aquifers. *Geochem. Trans.* **2009**, *10* (1), 4.

(115) Grossman, E. L.; Coffman, B. K.; Fritz, S. J.; Wada, H. Bacterial production of methane and its influence on ground-water chemistry in east-central Texas aquifers. *Geology* **1989**, *17* (6), 495.

(116) Hellings, L.; Van den Driessche, K.; Baeyens, W.; Keppens, E.; Dehairs, F. Origin and fate of dissolved inorganic carbon in interstitial waters of two freshwater intertidal areas: A case study of the Scheldt Estuary, Belgium. *Biogeochemistry* **2000**, *51* (2), 141–160.

(117) Sharma, S.; Frost, C. D. Tracing Coalbed Natural Gas–Coproduct Water Using Stable Isotopes of Carbon. *Groundwater* **2008**, *46* (2), 329–334.

(118) Simpkins, W. W.; Parkin, T. B. Hydrogeology and redox geochemistry of CH₄ in a Late Wisconsinan Till and Loess Sequence in central Iowa. *Water Resour. Res.* **1993**, *29* (11), 3643–3657.

(119) Mackintosh, S. J.; Ballentine, C. J. Using ³He/⁴He isotope ratios to identify the source of deep reservoir contributions to shallow fluids and soil gas. *Chem. Geol.* **2012**, *304–305*, 142–150.

(120) Hendry, J. M.; Schwartz, F. W.; Robertson, C. Hydrogeology and hydrochemistry of the Milk River aquifer system, Alberta, Canada: A review. *Appl. Geochem.* **1991**, *6* (4), 369–380.

(121) Andrews, J. N.; Drimmie, R. J.; Loosli, H. H.; Hendry, M. J. Dissolved gases in the Milk River aquifer, Alberta, Canada. *Appl. Geochem.* **1991**, *6* (4), 393–403.

(122) Klusman, R. W. Rate measurements and detection of gas microseepage to the atmosphere from an enhanced oil recovery/sequestration project, Rangely, Colorado, USA. *Appl. Geochem.* **2003**, *18* (12), 1825–1838.

(123) Sherk, G. W.; Romanak, K. D.; Dale, J.; Gilfillan, S. M. V.; Haszeldine, R. S.; Ringler, E. S.; Wolaver, B. D.; Yang, C. The Kerr Investigation: Final Report. Findings of the investigation into the impact of CO₂ on the Kerr Property; Sherk, G. W., Ed.; IPAC CO₂ Research: Regina, Saskatchewan, Canada, 2011.

(124) Romanak, K. D.; Changbing, Y. Analysis of gas geochemistry at the Kerr Site. In *The Kerr Investigation—Final Report. Findings of the investigation into the impact of CO₂ on the Kerr Property*; Sherk, G. W., Ed.; IPAC CO₂ Research: Regina, Saskatchewan, Canada, 2011; pp 57–76.

(125) Krevor, S.; Perrin, J.-C.; Esposito, A.; Rella, C.; Benson, S. Rapid detection and characterization of surface CO₂ leakage through the real-time measurement of δ¹³C signatures in CO₂ flux from the ground. *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control* **2010**, *4* (5), 811–815.

(126) McAlexander, I.; Rau, G. H.; Liem, J.; Owano, T.; Fellers, R.; Baer, D.; Gupta, M. Deployment of a Carbon Isotope Ratiometer for the Monitoring of CO₂ Sequestration Leakage. *Anal. Chem.* **2011**, *83* (16), 6223–6229.

(127) Spangler, L. H.; Dobeck, L. M.; Repasky, K. S.; Nehrir, A. R.; Humphries, S. D.; Barr, J. L.; Keith, C. J.; Shaw, J. A.; Rouse, J. H.; Cunningham, A. B.; et al. A shallow subsurface controlled release facility in Bozeman, Montana, USA, for testing near surface CO₂ detection techniques and transport models. *Environ. Earth Sci.* **2010**, *60* (2), 227–239.

(128) Blackford, J.; Stahl, H.; Bull, J. M.; Bergès, B. J. P.; Cevatoglu, M.; Lichtschlag, A.; Connelly, D.; James, R. H.; Kita, J.; Long, D.; et al. Detection and impacts of leakage from sub-seafloor deep geological carbon dioxide storage. *Nat. Clim. Change* **2014**, *4* (11), 1011–1016.

(129) Gilfillan, S. M. V.; Wilkinson, M.; Haszeldine, R. S.; Shipton, Z. K.; Nelson, S. T.; Poreda, R. J. He and Ne as tracers of natural CO₂ migration up a fault from a deep reservoir. *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control* **2011**, *5* (6), 1507–1516.

(130) Prinzhofer, A.; Dos Santos Neto, E. V.; Battani, A. Coupled use of carbon isotopes and noble gas isotopes in the Potiguar basin (Brazil): Fluids migration and mantle influence. *Mar. Pet. Geol.* **2010**, *27* (6), 1273–1284.

(131) Kotarba, M. J.; Nagao, K.; Karnkowski, P. H. Origin of gaseous hydrocarbons, noble gases, carbon dioxide and nitrogen in Carboniferous and Permian strata of the distal part of the Polish Basin: Geological and isotopic approach. *Chem. Geol.* **2014**, *383*, 164–179.