Asymptotic behaviour of the Direct Interaction Approximation.

Asymptotic behaviour of the Direct Interaction Approximation. As mentioned previously, Kraichnan's asymptotic solution of the DIA, for high Reynolds numbers and large wavenumbers, did not agree with the observed asymptotic behaviour of turbulence. His expression for the spectrum was $E(k)=C'\varepsilon^{1/2}U^{1/2}k^{-3/2}$, where U is the root-mean-square velocity and C' is a constant. In 1964 (see [1] for the reference) he wrote: `Recent experimental evidence gives strong support to [the Kolmogorov `-5'3' form] and rules out [the `-3/2' form above] as a correct asymptotic law.'

However, Kraichnan's result is not actually an asymptotic form. The rms velocity \$U\$ is in fact part of the solution, not the initial conditions. We may underline this by writing $U= [\int (1 + 0) \int (1/2) \int ($

Now you may object that the dissipation rate is also part of the solution, rather than of the initial conditions, and hence this is also a criticism of the Kolmogorov form. But this is not so. The dissipation only appears because it is equal to the inertial transfer rate. From the simple physics of the inertial range in wavenumber space, the appropriate quantity is the maximum value of the inertial flux of energy through modes, which we will denote by $\sqrt{repsilon_T}$. Hence the Kolmogorov form should really be $E(k) \le \sqrt{repsilon_T} \le 1000$

real space and derived the `2/3' law. But in 1941 Obukhov recognised that in wavenumber space the relevant quantity was the scale-invariant energy flux, as did Onsager a few years later.

A way of putting the Kraichnan result in a more asymptotic form was given by McComb and Yoffe [1], who made use of the asymptotic Taylor surrogate for the dissipation rate, $\varepsilon = C_{varepsilon, infty} U^3/L$, where L is the integral length scale and $C_{varepsilon, infty} = 0.468 \pm$ 0.006\$ [2], to substitute for <math>U in the Kraichnan spectrum, and obtained: $E(k) = C'C_{varepsilon, infty}^{-1/3} \arepsilon^{2/3}L^{beta}k^{-5}/3 + \beta}$, where beta = 1/6. Note that we have changed μs in that reference to β in order to avoid any confusion with the so-called intermittency correction, which normally is represented by that symbol.

Kraichnan only computed the Eulerian DIA for free decay at low Reynolds numbers. However, in 1989 McComb, Shanmugasundaram and Hutchinson [3] reported calculations for free decay of both DIA and LET for Taylor-Reynolds numbers in the range $0.5 \ eq R_{\lambda}(1 = 100) \ eq 1000$ where $t_f \ is$ the final time of the computation. These results do not support the asymptotic form of the DIA energy spectrum, as given above. It was found that (for example) at $R_{\lambda} \ eq 1000 \$

There is much that remains to be understood about Eulerian turbulence theories and the behaviour of two-time correlations.

[1] W. D. McComb and S. R. Yoffe. A formal derivation of the local energy transfer (LET) theory of homogeneous turbulence.J. Phys. A: Math. Theor., 50:375501, 2017.

[2] W. D. McComb, A. Berera, S. R. Yoffe, and M. F. Linkmann. Energy transfer and dissipation in forced isotropic turbulence. Phys. Rev. E, 91:043013, 2015.

[3] W. D. McComb, V. Shanmugasundaram, and P. Hutchinson. Velocity derivative skewness and two-time velocity correlations of isotropic turbulence as predicted by the LET theory. J. Fluid Mech., 208:91, 1989.