

Nilo-Saharan Languages: Resources for Language Policy and Planning

Tanya Spronk

SIL South Sudan / University of Toronto (OISE)

Abstract:

In the 36 years since Richard Ruiz (Ruíz, 1984) published his seminal work describing three orientations to language planning, his categories of language-as-right, language-as-resource and language-as-problem have enabled “professional language planners” (Lo Bianco, 2010, p. 144) to discuss how people at micro-, meso- and macro-levels (Davis, 2014; Hult & Hornberger, 2016) develop, interpret and implement language policies.

Without supportive language policies and sensitive language planning, Nilo-Saharan languages will be relegated to the realm of “problems”, rather than of “resources”, and they will suffer from a lack of research and development. This paper will first look at definitions of Language Policy and Planning (LPP), and then outline the salient factors in applying LPP issues to language in education policy and practice, focusing on countries such as Ethiopia, Kenya and South Sudan, and Uganda where many of the Nilo-Saharan languages are found.

The paper will seek to address the question of who has voice and agency in the process of LPP, from the level of government down to “grassroots” of parents and teachers, and outline the considerations that each level takes into account as they see language-as-right, language-as-resource or language-as-problem. These considerations range from colonial histories (Batibo, 2005), politics ((Muzoora et al., 2014; Tollefson & Tsui, 2014; Trudell, 2016), language attitudes (Chimhenga & Chivhanga, 2013; Trudell & Piper, 2014) and availability of resources in Nilo-Saharan languages (Jones & Barkhuizen, 2011; Laguarda & Woodward, 2013).

The paper will conclude with some suggestions for how we, as a community of language planners and users, can advocate for viewing languages-as-resources to increase the use of Nilo-Saharan languages at all levels.

Bibliography:

Davis, K. A. (2014). Engaged language policy and practices. *Language Policy*, 13(2), 83–100. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10993-013-9296-5>

Hult, F. M., & Hornberger, N. H. (2016). *Revisiting Orientations in Language Planning: Problem, Right, and Resource as an Analytical Heuristic*. 33(3), 22.

Jones, J. M., & Barkhuizen, G. (2011). ‘It is two-way traffic’: Teachers’ tensions in the implementation of the Kenyan language-in-education policy. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*, 14(5), 513–530. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13670050.2010.532540>

Laguarda, A. I., & Woodward, W. P. (2013). They own this: Mother tongue instruction for indigenous Kuku Children in Southern Sudan. *School Psychology International*, 34(4), 453–469. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0143034312446893>

Lo Bianco, J. (2010). Language Policy and Planning. In N. H. Hornberger & S. McKay (Eds.), *Sociolinguistics and language education*. (pp. 143–174). Multilingual Matters

Muzoora, M., Terry, D. R., & Asiimwe, A. A. (2014). The Valorisation of African Languages and Policies in the African Education Systems: A Case of Uganda. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 9.

Ruíz, R. (1984). Orientations in Language Planning. *NABE Journal*, 8(2), 15–34. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08855072.1984.10668464>

Tollefson, J. W., & Tsui, A. B. M. (2014). Language Diversity and Language Policy in Educational Access and Equity. *Review of Research in Education*, 38(1), 189–214. <http://dx.doi.org.myaccess.library.utoronto.ca/10.3102/0091732X13506846>

Trudell, B. (2016). Language choice and education quality in Eastern and Southern Africa: A review. *Comparative Education*, 52(3), 281–293. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03050068.2016.1185252>

Trudell, B., & Piper, B. (2014). Whatever the law says: Language policy implementation and early-grade literacy achievement in Kenya. *Current Issues in Language Planning*, 15(1), 4–21. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14664208.2013.856985>