HyStorPor Hydrogen Storage in Porous Media



Abundant Hydrogen Storage Offshore



Contact: Julien Mouli-Castillo PhD. | Julien.moulicastillo@ed.ac.uk | 07456304430 Mouli-Castillo, Heinemann, EdImann (2020)

UK offshore salt cavern storage potential

By Shannon Aitchison

for more information contact katriona.edlmann@ed.ac.uk



Salt integrity during hydrogen storage

Investigate the physical and chemical effects of hydrogen on salt deposits



Range of temperatures, pressures, formation fluid chemistries



Investigate geochemistry changes



Investigate mechanical changes

Investigate diffusion and fluid flow

For more information please contact Katriona Edlmann

katriona.edlmann@ed.ac.uk

Quantitative cost & emissions evaluation of electric and hydrogen road transport fuelling infrastructure for Scotland

Road transport is responsible for 26% of Scotland's emissions

Road Transport Emissions: Now: 10,000 kT CO2 eq / year Targets: 2030: 75% all-sectors reduction => 5,690kT for road transport. 2032: End hydrocarbon sales of cars & vans 2045: Net zero all-sectors => 1,207kT for road transport John M. Low University of Edinburgh

Expect enough renewable electricity to make enough green hydrogen and electricity for our needs

2030 emissions target met.2045: effectively zero roadtransport emissions



Usability comparison of battery electric and hydrogen fuel cell electric vehicles



John M. Low University of Edinburgh

General implications –

- Hydrogen is likely to be more beneficial for larger and longer range vehicles (comparable to diesel).
- Battery Electric likely to be of more benefit for urban or shorter range uses (comparable to petrol).



Investigate the physical and chemical effects of hydrogen on the caprock Range of temperatures, pressures, formation fluid chemistries

> Investigate geochemistry changes

Caprock integrity for hydrogen storage security

Caprock integrity

Investigate mechanical changes

For more information please contact Katriona Edlmann

katriona.edlmann@ed.ac.uk

Is microbial growth a concern for subsurface hydrogen storage?







Geological CO₂ Capture and Storage with Flue Gas Hydrate Formation in Frozen and Unfrozen Sediments





Interested? Contact Ali at Hssnpr@ed.ac.uk

The increase in temperature in high-latitude regions of the Earth appears to be occurring **twice** as fast as the global average.

Hassanpouryouzband, A., Yang, J., Tohidi, B., Chuvilin, E.M., Istomin, V. and Bukhanov, B.A., 2019. Geological CO2 Capture and Storage with Flue Gas Hydrate Formation in Frozen and Unfrozen Sediments: Method Development, Real Time-Scale Kinetic Characteristics, Efficiency, and Clathrate Structural Transition. ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering.









PhD project: "Local hydrogen production for energy storage and services"

Slide 1 of 2

- **Overview:** Project works with the data and learnings at Bright Green Hydrogen's Levenmouth Community Energy Project. A small-scale electrolytic hydrogen project that includes:
 - Wind energy
 - Solar energy
 - 250 kW PEM electrolyser

School of Engineering

- 100 kW fuel cell
- Hydrogen energy storage
- 2 hydrogen vehicle refuellers
- 8 buildings
- Hydrogen vehicle fleet





- Hot standby load of an electrolyser can be a major energy • consumer for an electrolyser
- Important for control system to be carefully designed to:
 - Minimise time electrolyser is wasting energy being hot and ready but not producing hydrogen because of not enough renewable energy
 - Also make sure the electrolyser is ready when there is sufficient ٠ renewable energy







Maja Persson

Maja.Persson@ed.ac.uk

PhD project: "Local hydrogen production for energy storage and services"



• A multi-purpose system means that the parts that are not prioritised for the renewable energy will struggle to operate well.

• Careful balancing of system will result in some improvement, but the user must decide what is the main purpose of the system.

- The computer model has explored different combinations of:
 - electrolyser capacity
 - renewable energy supply
 - vehicle demand
 - building demand
 - type of electrolysers
 - number of vehicle refuellers
 - number of end uses
 - hydrogen storage capacity
- Battery included to help electrolyser performance and economics

Every decision will impact the system's Net Present Value, determining its feasibility

Slide 2 of 2



Underground Hydrogen Storage: Abiotic Reservoir Reactions

Kate Adie (MSc Geoenergy) | <u>kate.adie@btinternet.com</u> | 07805751014



Hydrogen

1.00794

1 of 3

THE PROBLEM: RESERVOIR REACTIONS

Geochemical reactions in porous hydrogen stores pose

the threat of alterations to the porous structure of the reservoir and caprock and the stored gas composition.

Recent works suggest that pyrite, calcite and anhydrite may be susceptible to alteration in the presence of hydrogen, and that hydrogen may be susceptible to transformation by microbial communities.



Schematic illustration of dissolution of calcite and anhydrite cements. Source: Flesch et al., 2018

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Static batch reaction experiments involving two (generic, aeolian Permian) quartzdominated sandstone samples. ICP fluid analysis was investigated as a means of identifying hydrogen-water-rock reactions.





Results indicate <u>negligible variations</u> after exposure to hydrogen.

PHREEQC MODELLING RESULTS



Dissolution of Fe-bearing hematite and pyrite

PHREEQC was used to indicate the thermodynamic stability under equilibrium conditions, with increasing concentrations of hydrogen gas.

Results indicate that pyrite, calcite, dolomite and anhydrite are thermodynamically unstable in the presence of hydrogen.

<u>However</u>, literature suggests that kinetics may inhibit the transformation of calcite and sulfate bearing minerals, whilst the dissolution of pyrite is likely.

Kate Adie (MSc Geoenergy) | kate.adie@btinternet.com | 07805751014



Cement integrity during hydrogen storage

Cements for well sealing during hydrogen injection and production

Cements for sealing engineered cavern storage

Investigate the physical and chemical effects of hydrogen on well cements

Range of temperatures, pressures, formation fluid chemistries

Investigate geochemistry changes

Investigate mechanical changes

For more information please contact Katriona Edimann

katriona.edlmann@ed.ac.uk

Det WD

Does overpressure increase Hydrogen underground sealing storage security?



Hydrogen storage simulation study Cousland gas field, UK

Jonathan Scafidi – University of Edinburgh School of Geosciences

jonathan.scafidi@ed.ac.uk



Why Producing Hydrogen Offshore?

- Cost reduction for export cable
- Allows access to a better wind resource
- Deferring part of estimated £55.7bn decommissioning cost for UKCS
- Higher Public Acceptance







ETER Diana.jelenova@ed.ac.uk





18/4

Offshore Hydrogen **Production in Scotland**

Four areas have been identified for offshore green hydrogen production as shown on the map.

- Areas A, B and C are in deep water and will require floating wind technology
- Majority of identified O&G infrastructure to cease 300000N production by 2026 and unable to leave substructure in situ as structures are lighter than 10,000 tonnes



200000E

400000E 600000E © Openstreetmap Contributors; Reference System: British National Grid; EPSG 27700

Diana.jelenova@ed.ac.uk ⁰

200000N

Offshore Hydrogen Production



Resource assessment has been carried out on Area A to determine how much hydrogen could be produced offshore to decarbonise different sectors across the UK.

6840000N	Hydrogen Production Estimations						
	Annual energy production	5576 GWh/year					
	Equivalent hydrogen production	289 tons/day					
6795000N	Equivalent hydrogen bus supply	28,900 buses covering 100 km/ day					







5795000N







Interested? Contact Ali at Hssnpr@ed.ac.uk







We designed and developed high-pressure batch reaction vessels

No significant geochemical reaction with hydrogen has been observed so far (@ pressures up to 1MPa)

We have run over 100 experiments so far.

Interested? Contact Ali at Hssnpr@ed.ac.uk



H₂Thermobank: Thermodynamic of hydrogen

gas streams

Thermodynamic properties of hydrogen mixtures, including CO₂, N₂, CH₄ and a typical UK North Sea Natural Gas.

H2ThermoBank					- 🗆 X				
Select Composition:	2 + CO2 v								
H2 Mole Fraction: 10	0% ~								
Pressure (MPa)	0 Acce	eptable pressures: .01, 1	, 2, 3,, 100						
emperature (K) 300 Acceptable temperatures: 200, 220, 240, 260,, 500 HyStorPor									
Get Data									
Gas Density (Kg/M3)	306.830166	Gas viscosity(cp)	0.0232073	Gas thermal conductivity (W/m.K)	0.041153467				
Gas thermal capacity(J/kg.K)	3923.73218	Gas enthalpy(J/kg)	-126612.82	Gas entropy(J/kg.K)	-1242.1368				
		Gas Mass Fraction	0.498012536						
Liquid Density (Kg/M3)	581.686901	Liquid viscosity(cp)	0.0410991	Liquid thermal conductivity (W/m.K)	0.054467396				
iquid thermal capacity(J/kg.K)	5521.3638	Liquid enthalpy(J/kg)	-201254.46	Liquid entropy(J/kg.K)	-1438.482				

https://www.nature.com/articles/s41597-020-0568-6

https://github.com/aliakbarhssnpr/H2ThermoBank

Mole fractions of hydrogen from 10-90 mole %.

Pressures from 0.01 – 100 Mpa. Temperatures from 200-500 K (-73C to 227C).

Interested? Contact Ali at Hssnpr@ed.ac.uk

Expert elicitation for the risk assessment of the potential for hydrogen to leak from geological stores.

- A risk assessment of three geological scenarios was carried out using ISO 31000
- This involved a construction of a features, events and processes database and explanations of their contribution towards leakage
- 7 categories of potential hydrogen leakage pathways from 3 types of geological store were thus included in the FEP Database
- The goal was to identify high impact / high uncertainty risks based on these factors
- 12 cohort members from University of Edinburgh were invited to assess the risks and a review was conducted
- Monitoring and mitigation strategies from other technologies were then applied to the highest impact and uncertainty risks and adapted for hydrogen storage



Figure 1.0 Risk Assessment process from ISO 31000 adapted to reflect project tasks.

Contact: Gabriellafuentes@hotmail.co.uk

Hydrogen leakage elicitation results: Impact and Uncertainty / Risk Ranking

- There were 4 high impact & high uncertainty risks that could contribute to hydrogen leakage
- Salt Caverns had the lowest impact ranking but greatest uncertainty range of the 3 geological scenarios
- Depleted Gas Reservoirs / Porous Aquifers carried higher ranking impact risks but lower uncertainty range.
- Chemical risks were consistently ranked lowly in impact & uncertainty by experts across 3 scenarios.
- Wellbore and drilling environment and stress / faulting risks were consistently ranked high in impact and uncertainty across 3 scenarios.

Table 1.0 The presence of the highest ranked risks across the three geological categories.

		Depleted Gas Reservoir		Porous Aquifers		Salt Caverns	
Highest Ranking Risks		High	High	High	High	High	High
		Impact	Uncertainty	Impact	Uncertainty	Impact	Uncertainty
1	Equipment Malfunction	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
2	Human Error/ Miscalculations	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
3	Multiple Well Drilling	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
4	Well Sealing	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
5	Fracture Density / Geometry	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
6	Well Blowout	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
7	Induced Fracturing (Matrix)	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х
8	Faults / Undetected Features (Over / Underburden)	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х
9	Caprock Thickness	Х	Х	Х	Х		
10	Pressure Changes of Gas	Х		Х	Х	Х	

Table 2.0 Colour coordination of the categories included within the elicitation exercise and FEP database.

Chemistry	Gas Properties	Stress / Faulting	Geological Architecture	Wellbore and Drilling Environment	Events	Processes

Contact: Gabriellafuentes@hotmail.co.uk

Hydrogen leakage elicitation discussion: Impact & Uncertainty.







- Increase in severity values the highest immediacy value, 5, corresponds to leakage during injection (high immediacy)
- Expert opinion concludes that hydrogen leakage is most likely to occur during injection and early stages of storage operation
- The dispersion of risk categories across the 3 geological scenarios suggests that experts recognize that some risks take time to develop (e.g. chemical reactions)

Figure 3.0 , 5.0, 6.0: Matrix plots for Immediacy vs Severity for DGRs, PAs and SCs.

2.0

2.5

Severity

3.0

3.5

4.0

4.5

5.0

0.5

0.0

0.0

0.5

1.0

1.5

Expert elicitation for the risk assessment of the potential for hydrogen to leak from geological stores

Hydrogen is a challenging substance to contain in comparison to natural gas and CO² and so it security in the subsurface must be ensured to aid in the energy transition help achieve reaching net 0 by 2050

It is import to carry out early risk assessment to secure environmental and economic safety in developing technologies

Results of the study are consistent with other projects using this risk assessment method including CCS, hydraulic fracturing, nuclear waste disposal

Results depict Salt Caverns as carrying the greatest uncertainty yet lowest impact risks

Experts believe that high impact / high uncertainty risks are most likely to be unplanned events or faults in wellbore / drilling environment causing hydrogen leakage

Risks consistently ranked as low impact / low uncertainty risks were considered for de- risking as due to low immediacy vs severity and confidence in cohort knowledge.

Methods for monitoring and preventing leakage of hydrogen from geological store have been drawn on from other technologies and their suitability for hydrogen storage was considered

Contact: Gabriellafuentes@hotmail.co.uk

Modelling Hydrogen Storge in the subsurface using CO2 as a cushion gas.

Harri Williams Geoenergy MSc - harri.14@hotmail.co.uk



The Problem of gas mixing:

Harri Williams Geoenergy MSc - harri.14@hotmail.co.uk



Slide 2 of 4

What is the level of gas mixing?

Mass of Produced H₂ 6000 15500 At 4kg/s injection & production - 97.7% of 5900 hydrogen is able to be 15400 produced from the 2kg/s 5800 reservoir (Scenario 2). 15300 5700 MT Produced Hydrogen Shorter 5600 m 2000 Societa 2005 MT H2 (Scenario 3 Gas mixing can be 15200 duration of 152.340MT reduced by changing produced hydrogen injection the reservoir 15100 operational parameters: 4kg/s 5300 151,140 MT 15000 produced hydrogen 5200 152.930 MT 14900 produced Hydrogen Shortening the duration 5100 of injection - mixing is At 2kg/s - Around 98.5% H2 reduced further and 14800 5000 is produced (Scenario 1). 98.9% H2 is produced 0 5 10 15 20 25 30 **Production Cycles** (Scenario 3). ----- Scenario 1 ----- Scenario 2 Slide 3 of 4

Harri Williams Geoenergy MSc - harri.14@hotmail.co.uk

Harri Williams Geoenergy MSc - harri.14@hotmail.co.uk

CO2 Compressibility:



When pressure changes from 60 to 130 bar the density of CO₂ increases by a factor of 5.

Slide 4 of 4

Email: Harri.14@hotamil.co.uk Tell No: 07555515698